EFFECT OF THERAPEUTIC EXERCISE ON KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS AFTER INTRA-ARTICULAR INJECTION OF BOTULINUM TOXIN TYPE A, HYALURONATE OR SALINE: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

Xiao BAO, MD, PhD^{1,3#}, Jie-Wen TAN, MD^{2#}, Michael FLYZIK, MD³, Xian-Cong MA, MD¹, Howe LIU, PT, MD, PhD³ and Hui-Yu LIU, MD¹

From the ¹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Yue Bei People's Hospital, ShaoGuan, ²Department of Hyperbaric Oxygen, Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, GuangZhou, China, and ³Department of Physical Therapy, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX, USA

*These authors contributed equally and should be considered as first authors.

Objective: To study the effect of therapeutic exercise on knee osteoarthritis after injection of botulinum toxin type A, hyaluronate or saline.

Methods: Sixty participants with knee osteoarthritis were randomly assigned to 3 groups: injection of saline (control) (group A), botulinum toxin type A (group B), or hyaluronate (group C). All participants received therapeutic exercise. Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index questionnaire score, visual analogue scale pain scale, and Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Health Survey were conducted at baseline, and at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks.

Results: At end of the 4th and 8th weeks, the scores on the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index questionnaire and visual analogue scale were higher in the control group. There were significant differences in Physical Component Summary-36 and Mental Component Summary-36 scores between the 3 groups (p < 0.05) at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks, but not between groups A (control) and C (hyaluronate) at the end of the 4th week. No changes were seen on magnetic resonance imaging and X-ray images of the affected knees after the intervention.

Conclusion: Therapeutic exercise plus botulinum toxin type A or hyaluronate injection can significantly reduce pain and improve knee functioning. Botulinum toxin type A plus therapeutic exercise appears to be more effective.

Key word: botulinum toxin type A; hyaluronate; knee osteoarthritis; therapeutic exercise; dysfunction.

Accepted Mar 7, 2018; Epub ahead of print Apr 17, 2018

J Rehabil Med 2018; 50: 00-00

Correspondence addresses: Howe Liu, Department of Physical Therapy, University of North Texas Health Science Center, 3500 Camp Bowie Blvd, Fort Worth, TX, USA. E-mail: Howe.Liu@unthsc.edu; Hui-Yu Liu, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Yue Bei People's Hospital, No. 133 HuiMin Road, 512025 ShaoGuan, China. E-mail: liuhuiyudoctor@ sohu.com

K nee osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic and progressive disease that is the most common joint disorder in China. Knee OA is characterized by articular cartilage degeneration, bony changes and osteophyte

MAIN MESSAGE

Patients with knee osteoarthritis often have pain and joint dysfunction, which are not easy to treat using conventional therapy. The effect of a new therapy for relieving pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis was studied. This therapy involves ultrasound-guided injection of botulinum toxin type A, followed by therapeutic exercise. We compared this treatment with conventional therapy using injection with hyaluronate (a component of the synovial fluid), and a control treatment using saline injection, followed by the same exercises. The results showed that injection of botulinum toxin type A followed by therapeutic exercises resulted in greater improvements in knee pain and function in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Combined treatment with botulinum toxin type A, followed by therapeutic exercise is therefore recommended for people who have knee pain that does not respond well to conventional therapy.

formation. It often causes joint pain, swelling and dysfunction, which can affect patients' quality of life, and may lead to depression (1).

Conservative treatment for knee OA usually includes medication, physical therapy and other alternative medical interventions. Although oral analgesics, such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), can achieve moderate reduction in pain and slight functional improvement, they have substantial limitations. NSAIDs may not provide sufficient relief from joint pain, they often induce gastrointestinal discomfort, and can adversely interact with other drugs (2). Physical therapy and other alternative medical interventions are effective most of time, but the effects are not longlasting (3, 4). Surgical interventions with arthroscopic lavage and debridement may be offered for refractory joint pain when medical therapies fail, but the benefits of these procedures are debated (5). However, patients are also not always willing to consider joint replacement surgery when symptoms of knee OA persist. Under such conditions, a potential treatment may be to switch to therapeutic exercise plus intra-articular (IA) injection of botulinum toxin type A (BoNT-A) or hyaluronate.

The effect of original BoNT-A is the inhibition of the exocytotic release of acetylcholine from motor nerve

2 X. Bao et al.

terminals, which makes it useful in the treatment of local muscle spasm, such as limb spasm after stroke, facial spasm and spasmodic torticollis (6). Recently, the effects of BoNT-A in pain modulation have been studied. BoNT-A is often reported for use in lowback pain, myofascial pain, and joint pain caused by arthritis. Such treatment has been shown to lead to significant improvements in pain and function and is safe to use (7–12). Some advantages of therapeutic exercise for knee OA are its non-invasive nature, being preferred by both patients and physicians, and that it can strengthen muscles and improve balance in the lower limbs (13). To date, no published studies have prospectively evaluated the efficacy of IA BoNT-A plus therapeutic exercise for treatment of knee OA.

Hyaluronate, a major component of the synovial fluid, acts as a lubricant and shock absorber to help maintain the structural and functional characteristics of the cartilage matrix. Hyaluronate plays many key roles in the trophic status of the cartilage and regulation of the IA environment. It inhibits the formation and release of prostaglandins, induces proteoglycan aggregation and synthesis, and modulates the inflammatory response (14, 15). Previous studies have shown that 3 or 5 weekly injections of hyaluronate (16) or hyaluronate injection plus therapeutic home exercise (17) may reduce pain and improve physical functioning in patients with knee OA.

The current study hypothesized that pain and dysfunction due to knee OA could be alleviated by the combined effect of medicine and therapeutic exercise, without changing the structure of the knee joint. Such therapy could provide a new choice for patients with knee OA. The study therefore evaluated the effects of therapeutic exercise after injection of BoNT-A or hyaluronate using ultrasound-guided methods in patients with knee OA. Structural changes in the knee joints were evaluated by MRI and X-ray before and after the interventions.

METHODS

Study design

This was a prospective, single-blinded randomized controlled trial with an 8-week follow-up period. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Yue Bei People's Hospital (2015CX/K025) and the trial was retrospectively registered with the ISRCTN registry (study IDISRCTN13172678).

The study was conducted in a rehabilitation department of Yue Bei People's Hospital in Shao-Guan. All patients were recruited via posters. They were divided randomly into 3 groups based on different injections (group A: saline as control; group B: BoNT-A; group C: hyaluronate) and received therapeutic exercises after injection. Randomization was conducted using a computerized sample of convenience after a subject was screened, recruited, and signed an informed consent statement. Assessments were conducted at baseline, and at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks by a physiotherapist blinded to the subjects' interventions.

Subjects

From an initial total of 86 patients with knee OA visiting the acute comprehensive hospital in China, 60 patients fulfilled the selection criteria (below) from January to December 2016 and were admitted to the study. The subjects' age range was 59-72 years (mean age 65.9 (standard deviation (SD) 3.19) years). Inclusion criteria were: (i) mentally intact, i.e. able to follow 2-step commands; (ii) radiographic OA severity grade 2 or above for the knee joint on the Kellgren-Lawrence scale (18) and pain visual analogue scale score ≥ 6 after walking a distance of 100 m continuously on level ground; (iii) failure of physical therapy and/or medical treatment in the last 3 months; (iv) involvement of unilateral knee joint through clinical check and bilateral Xray of the knees. Exclusion criteria were: (i) patients who had received an IA injection in the affected knee within 3 months prior to the initial evaluation; (ii) disease complications, such as rheumatoid arthritis, tumours and any non-arthritic trauma to the affected knee, in the last 3 months; (iii) severe cardiac, liver or kidney dysfunction that had caused hospitalization in the last 3 months. All patients provided written informed consent.

Injection procedures

All patients received injections and therapeutic exercise as outpatients. The procedure for group B was 100 U BoNT-A (Botox; Allergan Inc., Irvine, KY, USA) diluted with 2.5 ml preservative-free 0.9% saline solution. A single injection was given by an experienced rehabilitation physician with the patients in the supine position. Colour Doppler ultrasound (GE, Fairfield, CT, USA) was used to identify the articular cavity of the knee at the level of the suprapatellar bursa using sterile ultrasound gel and a lateral approach. A linear array musculoskeletal ultrasound probe and a frequency of 5 MHz were used. The patient's knee joint was fixed in the straight position. The injection site was sterilized using iodophor. A 22-gauge needle was inserted directly into the articular cavity at the abovementioned location under ultrasound guidance using an in-plane approach. Groups A and C were given injections of saline (2.5 ml) or sodium hyaluronate (ARTZ, Seikagaku Corporation Takahagi Plant, Ibaraki, Japan), respectively, using the same injection method as group B. Group C received a hyaluronate injection once a week for 5 weeks. For patients with a lot of effusion in the knee articular cavity, the effusion was aspirated via a needle before giving the treatment injection.

Therapeutic exercise procedure

Ice bags were used to relieve transient pain or swelling after the injection in some patients. If the patients could endure transient pain, they began therapeutic training following the injection. The training was conducted in an activity room at the outpatient clinic, which had parallel bars and a well-lighted, carpeted hallway with handrails on the wall for participants to hold as needed during walking. Strengthening and balance exercises were taught to all subjects, to perform 30-45-min per session, 5 times a week for 8 weeks. Patients were provided with instructions and exercises were demonstrated. During these 8 weeks, the subjects received instruction and consultations on therapeutic exercises from a licensed physical therapist who was blinded to the subject grouping in the study. All patients received group exercises. In accordance with the individual's functional level, the resistance of the strength exercises was increased gradually, and balance exercises were initially performed within the parallel bars to increase stability and prevent falls during training. Walking was

included to address dynamic balance and endurance (walking distance). During the entire exercise session, each subject in all groups received the same exercise protocol; however, the resistance for strengthening was individualized according to each person's functional level and tolerance. Progression occurred when the subject was able to perform an exercise for 2 sets of 10 without pain on 5 consecutive days. The initial amount of resistance (poundage) was determined when a person was able to perform 1 set of 5–10 of each exercise with minimal resistance (from 0 to 1 kg in this study) without exacerbation of symptoms (worsening of pain, shortness of breath, pale face, dizziness). The maximum weight for resistance exercise was 3 kg on each knee or 5 kg on the waist (see Table SI¹).

Supplementary intervention

If the results from groups B or C coincided with our speculation that BoNT-A was more effective than hyauronate for releasing knee pain, BoNT-A injection would be given to the subjects in group A in order to relieve knee pain and functioning.

Clinical assessments

Baseline information included age, sex, and time of onset. Data from the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteo-

¹http://www.medicaljournals.se/jrm/content/?doi=10.2340/16501977-2340

OA patients assessed for eligibility (n=86) Met exclusion criteria (n=26) Total number of patients registered and randomized (n=60) Allocated to group A Allocated to group B Allocated to group C basline (n=20), saline injection (n=20), BoNT-A injection (n=20), hvaluronate Therapeutic exercise for all groups 4th week, post Follow up at end of 4th Follow up at end of 4th Follow up at end of 4th intervention week weeł week Therapeutic exercise for all 8thweek, post Follow up at end of 8th Follow up at end of 8th Follow up at end of 8th intervention week week week 16 patients received Data analyzed **BoNT-A** injection

Fig. 1. Flow diagram of patients in the trial.

arthritis Index questionnaire score (WOMAC), visual analogue scale (VAS) pain scale, and the Medical Outcomes Study 36item Health Survey (SF-36) were collected at 3 time-points (baseline, and at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks). The WOMAC is a commonly-used measure of knee joint dysfunction, which includes 24 questions (5 questions associated with pain, 2 with joint stiffness and 17 with knee joint function). The lower the score, the better the knee joint function (4). Reliability for the pain, stiffness and physical function subscales was 0.68, 0.48 and 0.68, respectively (19).

Pain was measured by means of a VAS, with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating unbearable pain. The VAS is a valid and reliable measure for pain, and changes of 2 or more were considered clinically relevant (20). Reliability for the VAS was 0.97 (21).

SF-36 was measured in 8 different domains of patients' health: physical functioning (PF), role-physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), roleemotional (RE) and mental health (MH). In addition, the Physical Component Summary (PCS) encompasses PF, RP, and BP, whereas the Mental Component Summary (MCS) includes SF, RE, and MH. Scores range from 0 to 100, with 0 corresponding to low health-related quality of life (HRQL) and 100 corresponding to high HRQL (22). Scores were recorded from each domain in order to assess a subject's quality of life. Reliability for the SF-36 was 0.87 (23). Medical imaging of the knee joint was examined by X-ray and MRI to evaluate the structure of the knee joint at baseline, and at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS for Windows version 20. Continuous data were expressed as means and standard deviations. The comparison of baseline with each follow-up point (weeks 4 and 8) within each group was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous data and McNemar's test for discrete data. Comparisons between groups at each follow-up point were conducted using repeated measures analysis of variance for continuous data and χ^2 tests for discrete data. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the flow diagram of eligible patients in the study. A total of 86 participants were admitted to the study at the beginning and 26 patients were excluded for several reasons (details shown in Fig. 1). Of these, 60 patients met the inclusion criteria and consented to receive intervention and follow-up assessment. A final total of 60 patients (26 males and 34 females) completed the study without dropout.

Time since onset ranged from 18 to 48 months (mean 31.9 months SD 8.18). There was no drop-out

Table I. Clinical data

Group	Number	Sex (M/F) n	Kellgren- Lawrence	Age, years Mean (SD)	Time since OA (months) Mean (SD)
A: Saline as					
control	20	9/11	14(II), 6(III)	65.3 (3.52)	33.6 (9.39)
			12(II), 7(III),		
B: BoNT-A	20	10/10	1(IV)	66.4 (3.49)	30.2 (7.93)
C: Hyaluronate	20	13/7	12(II), 8(III)	66.0 (2.09)	31.8 (6.11)

SD: standard deviation: OA: osteoarthritis: BoNT-A: botulinum toxin type A: M: male: F: female.

during the whole 8-week follow-up. There was no significant difference among groups in terms of sex, age or onset time. Demographic data for the recruited patients are shown in Table I.

The comparisons within groups for WOMAC, VAS and SF-36 are shown in Table II. Both WO-MAC and VAS scores decreased within group B and group C, but not in group A at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks. There were significant differences between the 4th and 8th weeks in group B in WO-MAC and VAS scores (p < 0.05), but not in group A and group C (p > 0.05). PCS-36 and MCS-36 were improved in group B and group C patients at the end of the 4th or 8th week compared with baseline (p < 0.05), but not in group A (p > 0.05). There were significant differences between the 4th and 8th weeks in group B and group C in PCS-36 and MCS-36 (p < 0.05), but not in group A (p > 0.05).

The comparisons of all measurements between the 3 groups are shown in Table III. There were significant differences in WOMAC and VAS between group A and group B, group B and group C (p < 0.05), but not between group A and group C (p > 0.05) in the end of the 4th week. The comparison between group A, group B and group C revealed differences on VAS and WOMAC at the end of the 8th week, respectively (p < 0.05). There were significant differences in PCS-36 and MCS-36 between group A and group B, group A and group C, group B and C (p < 0.05) at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks, but not between group A and group C in MCS-36 at the end of the 4th week.

MRI and X-ray image findings

www.medicaljournals.se/jrm

The major purpose of the MRI and X-ray examinations was to evaluate the structure of the knee joint and understand whether the improvement in knee function and pain is related to the structure of the knee joint. There were no changes after intervention on the MRI and X-ray images of the affected knee according to the Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) scale at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks (p > 0.05).

and 8 weeks at 4 Table II. Comparison of WOMAC, VAS and SF-36 within groups

	Baseline			4 weeks			8 weeks											
		95% CI			95% CI			95% CI			95% CI			95% CI			95% CI	
Item	Mean (SD)	Lower bound	Upper bound	Mean (SD)	Lower bound	Upper bound	Mean (SD)	Lower bound	Upper bound	P1-value	Lower bound	Upper bound	P2-value	Lower bound	Upper bound	P3-value	Lower bound	Upper bound
WOMAC																		
A Pain	59.5 (4.88)	55.8175	63.1825	55.1 (4.04)	51.4144	58.7856	56.5 (3.47)	52.113	58.287	0.072	-8.8849	0.0849	0.058	-8.7849	0.1849	0.962	-4.3849	4.585
Stiffness	41.2 (3.73)	38.3826	44.0174	39.8 (3.59)	35.1928	40.6072	37.6 (4.52)	34.898	40.302	0.072	-6.8182	0.2182	0.051	-7.1182	-0.0818	0.863	-3.8182	3.218
Dysfunction	42.4 (2.97)	40.158	44.642	39.8 (5.44)	37.9591	41.6409	40.3 (3.46)	37.6868	42.9132	0.057	-5.4915	0.2915	0.184	-4.9915	0.7915	0.72	-2.3915	3.392
B Pain	61.6 (2.90)	59.4094	63.7906	43.2 (7.02)	37.9023	48.4977	26.3 (5.08)	28.7751	37.8249	< 0.01	-23.8088	-12.9912	< 0.01	-33.709	-22.891	< 0.01	-15.309	-4.491
Stiffness	43.2 (2.95)	40.9682	45.4318	33.5 (4.65)	29.9914	37.0086	28.5 (4.78)	24.8955	32.1045	< 0.01	-13.7754	-5.6246	< 0.01	-18.775	-10.625	< 0.05	-9.0754	-0.925
Dysfunction	41.2 (2.74)	39.1267	43.2733	34.8 (3.40)	32.2362	37.3638	29.1 (3.88)	26.1708	32.0292	< 0.01	-9.6663	-3.1337	< 0.01	-15.366	-8.8337	< 0.01	-8.9663	-2.434
C Pain	60.3 (2.79)	58.1927	62.4073	54.1 (4.45)	50.7371	57.4629	48.3 (8.07)	42.2108	54.3892	< 0.05	-11.5828	-0.8172	< 0.01	-17.383	-6.6172	0.075	-11.183	-0.417
Stiffness	41.5 (3.85)	38.5942	44.4058	37.7 (3.76)	34.9594	40.4406	33.1 (5.82)	28.7103	37.4897	< 0.05	-8.1954	0.5954	< 0.01	-12.795	-4.0046	0.059	-8.9954	-0.205
Dysfunction	42.8 (5.56)	39.3643	46.2357	38.6 (3.29)	36.1173	41.0827	34.2 (5.28)	30.2128	38.1872	< 0.05	-8.5097	0.1097	< 0.01	-12.91	-4.2903	0.051	-8.7097	-0.09
A VAS	6.6 (0.80)	5.9968	7.2032	5.9 (0.72)	5.3722	6.4278	5.9 (0.83)	5.2736	6.5264	0.063	-1.4534	0.0534	0.085	-1.4534	0.0534	0.984	-0.7534	0.753
B VAS	6.8 (1.07)	5.9879	7.6121	4.7 (0.78)	4.1111	5.2889	3.9 (0.83)	3.2736	4.5264	< 0.01	-2.9759	-1.2241	< 0.01	-3.7759	-2.0241	< 0.05	-1.6759	0.076
C VAS	6.6 (0.91)	5.9089	7.2911	5.6 (1.01)	4.831	6.369	4.7 (0.90)	4.0214	5.3786	< 0.05	-1.9159	-0.0841	< 0.05	-2.8159	-0.9841	0.062	-1.8159	0.016
SF-36																		
A PCS-36	36.2 (9.04)	32.8238	39.5762	36.6 (7.91)	33.676	39.5906	36.5 (6.94)	33.9747	39.1587	0.83	-3.6793	4.546	0.86	-3.746	4.4793	0.97	-4.1793	4.046
MCS-36	44.6 (7.73)	41.7116	47.4884	45.0 (7.71)	42.1518	47.9149	44.9 (7.23)	42.266	47.6673	0.82	-3.4491	4.3158	0.85	-3.5158	4.2491	0.97	-3.9491	3.816
B PCS-36	37.3 (7.49)	34.5333	40.1334	47.3 (10.13)	43.5159	51.0841	56.9 (11.21)	52.7104	61.0896	< 0.05	4.9662	14.9671	< 0.05	14.5662	24.567	< 0.05	4.5996	14.6
MCS-36	43.6 (7.74)	40.7418	46.5249	54.3 (8.05)	51.3247	57.342	61.7 (8.96)	58.4201	65.1132	< 0.05	6.4556	14.9444	< 0.05	13.8889	22.378	< 0.05	3.1889	11.68
C PCS-36	36.4 (7.48)	33.6064	39.1936	41.9 (7.19)	39.2461	44.6205	47.4 (8.36)	44.2765	50.5235	< 0.05	1.5832	9.4834	< 0.05	7.0499	14.95	< 0.05	1.5166	9.417
MCS-36	40.9 (8.45)	37.7462	44.0538	47.3 (9.27)	43.9032	50.8302	52.1 (9.49)	48.6228	55.7106	< 0.05	1.8059	11.1275	< 0.05	6.6059	15.928	< 0.05	0.1392	9.461
P1: comparison between baseline and 4 weeks; P2: comparison between baseline and 8 weeks; P3: comparison between 4 and 8 weeks; C1: confidence interval; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthriths Index questionaire score; VAS: visual analogue scale; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Health Survey; PCS-36: Physical Component Summary, encompasses physical functioning (PF), role-physical (RP) and bodily pain (BP); MCS-36: Mental Component Summary, encompasses physical functioning (PF), role-physical (RP) and bodily pain (BP); MCS-36: Mental Component Summary, encompasses physical functioning (SF), role-emotional (RE) and mental health (MH).	between baselir bre; VAS: visua nt Summary, ei	ne and 4 we analogue ncompasse	eeks; P2: co scale; SF-3(s social func	imparison betwi 5: Medical Outci tioning (SF), ro	een baselin omes Study le-emotiona	e and 8 wee ^ 36-item He al (RE) and I	aseline and 8 weeks; P3: comparisor 5 Study 36-item Health Survey; PCS-3 notional (RE) and mental health (MH)	arison betwe ² CS-36: Phy: (MH).	en 4 and 8 v sical Compoi	weeks; CI: (nent Summi	confidence in ary, encompi	terval; WOM asses physica	AC: Westerr I functioning	n Ontario and g (PF), role-p	i McMaster hysical (RP)	Universities) and bodily	Osteoarthrit pain (BP); 1	is Index 1CS-36:

Table III. Comparison of the WOMAC, VAS and SF-36 between groups at 4 and 8 weeks

						95% CI			95% CI			95% CI	
Time	Measure	Group A Mean (SD)	Group B Mean (SD)	Group C Mean (SD)	P1-value	Lower bound	Upper bound	P2-value	Lower bound	Upper bound	P3-value	Lower bound	Upper bound
4 weeks	WOMAC												
	Pain	55.1 (4.04)	43.2 (7.02)	54.1 (4.45)	< 0.01	-17.2894	-6.5106	0.655	-6.3894	4.3894	< 0.01	5.5106	16.2894
	Stiffness	39.8 (3.59)	33.5 (4.65)	37.7 (3.76)	< 0.05	-8.2589	-0.5411	0.907	-4.0589	3.6589	< 0.05	0.3411	8.0589
	Dysfunction	39.8 (5.44)	34.8 (3.40)	38.6 (3.29)	< 0.01	-7.9739	-2.0261	0.391	-4.1739	1.7739	< 0.05	0.8261	6.7739
	VAS	5.9 (0.7)	4.7 (0.78)	5.6 (1.01)	< 0.01	-2.0169	-0.3831	0.476	-1.1169	0.5169	< 0.05	0.0831	1.7169
	SF-36												
	PCS-36	36.6 (7.91)	47.3 (10.13)	41.9 (7.19)	< 0.01	6.2998	15.0335	< 0.01	0.9332	9.6668	< 0.05	-9.7335	-0.9998
	MCS-36	45.0 (7.71)	54.3 (8.05)	47.3 (9.27)	< 0.01	5.0011	13.5989	0.29	-1.9655	6.6322	< 0.01	-11.2655	-2.6678
8 weeks	WOMAC												
	Pain	56.5 (3.47)	26.3 (5.08)	48.3 (8.07)	< 0.01	-27.9657	-15.8343	< 0.05	-12.9657	-0.8343	< 0.01	8.9343	21.0657
	Stiffness	37.6 (4.52)	28.5 (4.78)	33.1 (5.82)	< 0.01	-13.7582	-4.4418	< 0.05	-9.1582	0.1582	< 0.05	-0.0582	9.2582
	Dysfunction	40.3 (3.46	29.1 (3.88)	34.2 (5.28)	< 0.01	-15.3437	-7.0563	< 0.01	-10.2437	-1.9563	< 0.05	0.9563	9.2437
	VAS	5.9 (0.8)	3.9 (0.83)	4.7 (0.90)	< 0.01	-2.8264	-1.1736	< 0.01	-2.0264	-0.3736	< 0.05	-0.0264	1.6264
	SF-36												
	PCS-36	36.5 (6.94)	56.9 (11.21)	47.4 (8.36)	< 0.01	15.7046	24.962	< 0.01	6.2046	15.462	< 0.01	-14.1287	-4.8713
	MCS-36	44.9 (7.23)	61.7 (8.96)	52.1 (9.49)	< 0.01	12.3783	21.2217	< 0.01	2.7783	11.6217	< 0.01	-14.0217	-5.1783

P1: comparison between group A and group B at 4 weeks and 8 weeks; P2: comparison between group A and group C at 4 weeks and 8 weeks; P3; comparison between group B and group C at 4 weeks and 8 weeks; CI: confidence interval; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index questionnaire score; VAS: visual analogue scale; PCS-36: Physical Component Summary; MCS-36: Mental Component Summary.

Safety outcomes

No adverse events, such as death, new lower limb motor or sensory dysfunction, anaphylactic reaction to the injection, or inflammation of injection site, occurred during the study. No transient muscle weakness was found in any of the groups.

DISCUSSION

This prospective study in patients with knee OA revealed that therapeutic exercise after IA injection of BoNT-A or hyaluronate resulted in improvements in pain and knee functioning.

Mechanism of effect of BoNT-A and hyaluronate

A novel use of a well-known drug (BoNT-A) was compared with a treatment that is the current standard of care (IA hyaluronate) and placebo for patients with knee OA. Significant improvement was demonstrated in VAS, WOMAC and SF-36 in groups B and C. The VAS score in group B decreased significantly by the end of the 4th and 8th weeks. PCS-36 and MCS-36 scores showed significant improvement at the end of the 4th and 8th weeks between groups, but not between group A and group C at the end of the 4th week. This may be due to psychological factors in participants, since the SF-36 assessment involved the patients' subjective feelings.

We speculate that both BoNT-A and hyaluronate injection improved knee function and relieved pain for following reasons: (*i*) the treatment reduced knee pain and consequently increased patient compliance with knee joint exercises after injection; (*ii*) the less pain, the greater the patient's confidence, and this created positive feedback.

Group B may have had better results than group C due to a different mechanism of medicine effect. The mechanism of BoNT-A-induced pain reduction for knee OA is unclear. It has been reported in the rat model (24) that joint damage or inflammation caused by OA could result in the production of various substances. such as bradykinin, serotonin, K⁺, H⁺, histamine, and prostaglandins. These substances could act on nociceptors of the free nerve endings, and then activate the polymodal C-and A- delta fibres in the knee articular tissue (24). It was further found that the afferent signals from these pain fibres could be sensitive to peripheral noxious or non-noxious stimuli (peripheral sensitization) and then be transmitted to the spinal cord to stimulate the dorsal root ganglion neurones for release of nociceptive peptides, such as substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), and glutamate (central sensitization) (25). It is likely that such sensitizations from damage or inflammation of knee joint increased pain, which was difficult to control with conventional therapy (26, 27). Lately, it was found that BoNT-A was able to block peripheral and central sensitizations by inhibiting releasing neurotransmitters from primary sensory neurones in a rat formalin model, which was produced by injecting formalin into rat paw (28) and reducing c-Fos expression in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (28, 29). Increased c-Fos expression may indicate increased neuronal activation through harmful stimuli. In addition, data from other investigations showed that BoNT-A might have an anti-nociceptive effect by down-regulating the voltage-gated Na⁺-channel expression on the rat trigeminal neuralgia (30), or by reducing the peripheral release of neurotransmitters (substance P, CGRP) and pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1 β in rat temporomandibular arthritis (31). Based

6 X. Bao et al.

on this information, a possible explanation of paininhibition by BoNT-A is that it reduces the release of neurotransmitters, such as substance P and others, thus blocking the pain signal pathway. Hyaluronate, which is a lubricant, may exert its effect by reducing bone friction and protecting cartilage tissues (32).

Choice of saline as control and BoNT-A dose

In previous studies, triamcinolone or saline have often been used as controls (33). However, in our study, we chose saline as the control injection, because a previous study reported that IA injection of triamcinolone in the knee could result in significant loss of cartilage volume and no significant pain reduction in the knee after 2 years (33).

Furthermore, for the BoNT-A dosage, we chose 100 U BoNT-A on the basis of published studies of IA BoNT-A, showing that a high-dose BoNT-A group did not show any increase in curative effects in comparison with a standard dose of BoNT-A (34). No adverse effects were found in any of the patient groups. These results confirm that the dose of 100 U BoNT-A was safe, similar to the results of a recent study using the same dosage (35).

Advantage of ultrasound guidance

IA injections are performed using various methods. Some researchers use landmark guidance or surface electromyography (36, 37); however, these techniques are not accurate because the needle tip can easily hit the bone spur or fat pad, and the vessels and nerve can be hit by the needle.

Tresley & Jose (38) found that it is easy to perform sonographically guided IA injections of the knee joint with 100% accuracy and no complications in a consecutive series of 67 patients with OA of the knee. This is a safe, economical, and well-tolerated form of treatment compared with other methods. This method can help physicians to visualize the direction and depth of injection, and thus avoid possible injury to surrounding structures.

Importance of therapeutic exercise

Muscle strength, balance, and functional mobility are important components of performance in standing, walking and many activities of daily living. Patients with knee OA could benefit from exercises to increase the muscle strength of the knee joint and improve balance in the lower limbs. Pain associated with knee OA often results in reduced activity and weakening of the muscles, resulting in a secondary increase in knee instability. The current study showed that therapeutic exercises after injection of BoNT-A or hyaluronate were associated with improvements in pain, disability and activities of daily living, similar to the results of a previous report (39). Interestingly, group B improved more in terms of pain, dysfunction and activities of daily living than did the other groups, especially by the end of 8 weeks. However, there were significant improvements in WOMAC and VAS in group C compared with the controls up to the end of the 8th week. This may be due to the effect of BoNT-A on inhibiting the release of pain neurotransmitters, which was the key factor in inducing pain.

Features of our study

Although previous research has shown that BoNT-A and hyaluronate injection could improve knee function and relieve pain, there are differences between previous studies and our research. First, we used injection of BoNT-A or hyaluronate plus 8-week progressive therapeutic exercises to treat patients with knee OA. Secondly, we accurately injected the medicines into the knee articular cavity in all subjects using ultrasound guidance, which minimized potential injury to the knee by avoiding the risk of the needle tip touching a bone spur or infra-patellar fat pad. Thirdly, we examined the MRI and X-ray images of the knees and found bony spur and meniscus injury still existed after injection, and there were no changes between baseline and after intervention, which could be possible evidence for the effect of BoNT-A in inhibiting the release of neurotransmitter rather than changing the structure of the knee joint or repairing the meniscus.

Study limitations

Although these results are encouraging, some study limitations should be noted. First, we did not test the change in neurotransmitter in the affected knee joint at each assessment point. Thus, we cannot confirm a possible correlation between the effect of BoNT-A and the change in neurotransmitter. Secondly, we only evaluated the outcomes of the 4th and 8th weeks after intervention, but we did not perform a longer follow up. Thus, the study did not evaluate the effective length of each intervention after the 8th week. Thirdly, hyaluronate was given as a series of 5 injections, but BoNT-A and saline were each given as a single injection. These different dose and injection frequencies may influence the results of the study.

Conclusion

Therapeutic exercises for patients with knee OA following injection of BoNT-A or hyaluronate can reduce

Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine

pain and improve recovery of function in such patients, but BoNT-A combined with therapeutic exercises seems to be the more effective of these treatment, and may provide clinicians with a new option for treatment of patients with knee OA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all the professors and coworkers for their tireless assistance in this project. The authors also thank the individuals who participated in this study and all the administrative support staff.

The work presented here is funded by the Yue Bei People's Hospital, Shaoguan, China.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ikeuchi M, Izumi M, Aso K, Sugimura N, Tani T. Clinical characteristics of pain originating from intraarticular structures of the knee joint in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. Springer Plus 2013; 2: 628.
- Zavodovsky BV, Sivordova LE, Polyakova YV, Akhverdyan YR, Kuznetsova MI, Zborovskaya IA. The efficacy and safety of etoricoxib versus meloxicam in the treatment of patients with gonarthrosis. Ter Arkh 2016; 88: 78–81.
- Matsuse H, Hashida R, Takano Y, Omoto M, Nago T, Bekki M, et al. Walking exercise simultaneously combined with neuromuscular electrical stimulation of antagonists resistance improved muscle strength, physical function, and knee pain in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a single-arm study. J Strength Cond Res 2017; 31: 171–180.
- 4. Kuru Çolak T, Kavlak B, Aydoğdu O, Şahin E, Acar G, Demirbüken İ, et al. The effects of therapeutic exercises on pain, muscle strength, functional capacity, balance and hemodynamic parameters in knee osteoarthritis patients: a randomized controlled study of supervised versus home exercises. Rheumatol Int 2017; 37: 399–407.
- Moseley JB, O'Malley K, Petersen NJ, Menke TJ, Brody BA, Kuykendall DH, et al. A controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery for osteoarthritis of the knee. N Engl J Med 2002; 347: 81–88.
- Dos Santos WT, Rodrigues Ede C, Mainenti MR. Muscle performance, body fat, pain and function in the elderly with arthritis. Acta Ortop Bras 2014; 22: 54–58.
- Jazayeri SM, Ashraf A, Fini HM, Karimian H, Nasab MV. Efficacy of botulinum toxin type a for treating chronic low back pain. Anesth Pain Med 2011; 1: 77–80.
- Avendaño-Coy J, Gómez-Soriano J, Valencia M, Estrada J, Leal F, Ruiz-Campa R. Botulinum toxin type A and myofascial pain syndrome: a retrospective study of 301 patients. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2014; 27: 485–492.
- Freund B, Schwartz M. Temporal relationship of muscle weakness and pain reduction in subjects treated with BoNT-A. J Pain 2003; 4: 159–165.
- Singh JA, Mahowald ML, Noorbaloochi S. Intra-articular botulinum toxin A for refractory shoulder pain: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. Transl Res 2009; 153: 205–216.
- Huang YC, Wei SH, Wang HK, Lieu FK. Ultrasonographic guided botulinum toxin type A treatment for plantar fasciitis: an outcome-based investigation for treating pain and gait changes. J Rehabil Med 2010; 42: 136–140.
- Marchini C, Acler M, Bolognari MA, Causero A, Volpe D, Regis D, et al. Efficacy of botulinum toxin type A treatment of functional impairment of degenerative hip joint: preliminary results. J Rehabil Med 2010; 42: 691–693.

- Wood LR, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Stynes S, D'Cruz D, Mullis R, Whittle R, et al. Impairment-targeted exercises for older adults with knee pain: a proof-of-principle study (TargET-Knee-Pain). BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2016; 17: 47.
- Frizziero L, Govoni E, Bacchini P. Intraarticular hyaluronic acid in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: clinical and morphological study. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1998; 16: 441–449.
- 15. Adams ME, Atkinson MH, Lussier AJ, Schulz JI, Siminovitch KA, Wade JP, et al. The role of visco supplementation with hylan G-F 20 (Synvisc) in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: a Canadian multicenter trial comparing hylan G-F 20 alone, hylan G-F 20 with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and NSAIDs alone. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 1995; 3: 213–225.
- Rivera F, Bertignone L, Grandi G, Camisassa R, Comaschi G, Trentini D, et al. Effectiveness of intra-articular injections of sodium hyaluronate-chondroitin sulfate in knee osteoarthritis: a multicenter prospective study. J Orthop Traumatol 2016; 17: 27–33.
- Kawasaki T, Kurosawa H, Ikeda H. Therapeutic home exercise versus intraarticular hyaluronate injection for osteoarthritis of the knee: 6-month prospective randomized open-labeled trial. J Orthop Sci 2009; 14: 182–191.
- Kellgren J, Lawrence J. Radiological assessment of osteoarthrosis. Ann Rheum Dis 1957; 16: 494–502.
- Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. J Rheumatol 1988; 15: 1833–1840.
- Opara J, Hordyńska E, Swoboda A. Effectiveness of botulinum toxin A in the treatment of spasticity of the lower extremities in adults – preliminary report. Ortop Traumatol Rehabil 2007; 9: 277–285.
- Gallagher EJ, Liebman M, Bijur PE. Prospective validation of clinically important changes in pain severity measured on a visual analog scale. Ann Emerg Med 2001; 38: 633–638.
- 22. Gazerani P, Au S, Dong X, Kumar U, Arendt-Nielsen L, Cairns BE. Botulinum neurotoxin type A (BoNTA) decreases the mechanical sensitivity of nociceptors and inhibits neurogenic vasodilation in a craniofacial muscle targeted for migraine prophylaxis. Pain 2010; 151: 606–616.
- Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992; 30: 473–483.
- 24. Schaible HG, Grubb BD. Afferent and spinal mechanisms of joint pain. Pain 1993; 55: 5–54.
- Wang L, Wang K, Chu X, Li T, Shen N, Fan C, et al. Intraarticular injection of Botulinum toxin A reduces neurogenic inflammation in CFA-induced arthritic rat model. Toxicon 2017; 126: 70–78.
- 26. O'Leary H, Smart KM, Moloney NA, Doody CM. Nervous system sensitization as a predictor of outcome in the treatment of peripheral musculoskeletal conditions: a systematic review. Pain Pract 2017; 17: 249–266.
- Arendt-Nielsen L, Skou ST, Nielsen TA, Petersen KK. Altered central sensitization and pain modulation in the CNS in chronic joint pain. Curr Osteoporos Rep 2015; 13: 225–234.
- Aoki KR. Review of a proposed mechanism for the antinociceptive action of botulinum toxin type A. Neurotoxicology 2005; 26: 785–793.
- Drinovac V, Bach-Rojecky L, Babić A, Lacković Z. Antinociceptive effect of botulinum toxin type A on experimental abdominal pain. Eur J Pharmacol 2014; 15: 190–195.
- Yang KY, Kim MJ, Ju JS, Park SK, Lee CG, Kim ST, et al. Antinociceptive effects of botulinum toxin type A on trigeminal neuropathic pain. J Dent Res 2016; 95: 1183–1190.
- Lora VR, Clemente-Napimoga JT, Abdalla HB, Macedo CG, Canales GT, Barbosa CM. Botulinum toxin type A reduces inflammatory hypernociception induced by arthritis in the

8 X. Bao et al.

temporomadibular joint of rats. Toxicon 2017; 129: 52–57.

- 32. Stitik TP, Issac SM, Modi S, Nasir S, Kulinets I. Effectiveness of 3 weekly injections compared with 5 weekly injections of intra-articular sodium hyaluronate on pain relief of knee osteoarthritis or 3 weekly injections of other hyaluronan products: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2017; 98: 1042–1050.
- McAlindon TE, LaValley MP, Harvey WF, Price LL, Driban JB, Zhang M, et al. Effect of intra-articular triamcinolone vs saline on knee cartilage volume and pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017; 317: 1967–1975.
- 34. Boon AJ, Smith J, Dahm DL, Sorenson EJ, Larson DR, Fitz-Gibbon PD, et al. Efficacy of intra-articular botulinum toxin type A in painful knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. PM R 2010; 2: 268–276.
- Naumann M, Albanese A, Heinen F, Molenaers G, Relja M. Safety and efficacy of botulinum toxin type A following

long-term use. Eur J Neurol 2006; Suppl 4: 35-40.

- Hsieh LF, Wu CW, Chou CC, Yang SW, Wu SH, Lin YJ, et al. Effects of botulinum toxin landmark-guided intra-articular injection in subjects with knee osteoarthritis. PM R 2016; 8: 1127–1135.
- 37. Singer BJ, Silbert PL, Song S, Dunne JW, Singer KP. Treatment of refractory anterior knee pain using botulinum toxin type A (Dysport) injection to the distal vastus lateralis muscle: a randomised placebo controlled crossover trial. Br J Sports Med 2011; 45: 640–645.
- Tresley J, Jose J. Sonographically guided posteromedial approach for intra-articular knee injections: a safe, accurate, and efficient method. J Ultrasound Med 2015; 34:721–726.
- 39. Sun SF, Hsu CW, Lin HS, Chou YJ, Chen JY, Wang JL. Efficacy of intraarticular botulinum toxin A and intraarticular hyaluronate plus rehabilitation exercise in patients with unilateral ankle osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. J Foot Ankle Res 2014; 7: 9.