## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# THE IMPORTANCE OF HEALTH ECONOMICS IN REHABILITATION MEDICINE

#### Sir;

I found your editorial entitled "Research and publishing in rehabilitation medicine" (1) very informative and interesting. However, I would like to suggest that, in addition to the recommendations made, emphasis should also be placed on the importance of the health economics perspective for this area of research.

I make this suggestion on the basis of the findings from a review of the health economic literature on neurorehabilitation services, carried out recently at government department level for the purposes of informing policy. The review demonstrated that the evidence base on the health economics of neurorehabilitation was limited and patchy. The information deficits in this topic area have been widely commented upon (2–4). However, the consensus view is that these information gaps can be rectified, as the research methodologies in health economics have been sufficiently well-developed that they can now be applied in rehabilitation medicine (2–7). A recent example, in stroke care, demonstrated that a cost-effectiveness study can be quite neatly carried out in conjunction with a study on clinical efficacy (8).

Research on the health economics of rehabilitation is very important for patients because information from such research is increasingly being used, both by policymakers and funders, to inform decisions about the optimal use of limited resources, often against a backdrop of many competing and disparate demands. It is mainly for this reason that I wish to highlight the importance of the health economics perspective in rehabilitation research and publishing.

Submitted December 21, 2009; accepted January 15, 2010

*Fionnuala Cooney, MB, MSc Epi, MFPHMI* From the Department of Health and Children, Hawkins House, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: fionnuala.cooney@hse.ie

#### RESPONSE TO THE LETTER TO THE EDITOR BY COONEY

Thank you very much for your Letter to the Editor. I very much agree with you in your concern that health economics should play an increasing part in rehabilitation research and publishing. Rehabilitation is a complex procedure with many factors involved, where the cost-effectiveness ought to be studied. We do indeed need to be aware of the cost when designing and comparing rehabilitation intervention programmes. In that specific Editorial, however, the aim was not to go through all different aspects of rehabilitation research and prioritize them, but mainly to make the readers aware of the organization and structure of human functioning research and rehabilitation research into distinct scientific fields based on the concepts set out in the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), as proposed in some recent publications (9, 10). Within the field Integrative rehabilitation sciences (10), research areas orientated towards health economics can be found as exemplified:

"the development of payment schemes, that facilitate and provide incentives for optimal service provision"; and

"to assess the evidence with regards to the safety, efficacy, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of products, procedures and intervention programmes".

Unfortunately, too few studies related to health economics in rehabilitation are published, even if they may be found in other than specific rehabilitation journals. For example, during 2009 only 2 such papers were published in the *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* (11, 12). Thus we need more studies related to health economics in rehabilitation to be submitted and published, and this is an issue that we are aware of and have discussed within the Editorial Board.

> Gunnar Grimby, MD, PhD, FRCP Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine

### REFERENCES

- Grimby G. Research and publishing in rehabilitation medicine. J Rehabil Med 2009; 41: 1021–1023.
- McKenna M, Maynard A, Wright K. Is rehabilitation cost effective? In: Centre for Health Economics, University of York, Working Papers. 1992. RePEc:chy:respap:101chedp. Available from: URL:

http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/che/pdf/DP101.pdf

- 3. McGregor K. Pentland B. Head injury rehabilitation in the UK: an economic perspective. Soc Sci Med 1997; 45: 295–303.
- 4. Cardenas DD, Haselkorn JK, McElligott JM, Gnatz SM. A bibliography of cost-effectiveness practices in physical medicine and

© 2010 The Authors. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0532

Journal Compilation © 2010 Foundation of Rehabilitation Information. ISSN 1650-1977

rehabilitation: AAPM&R White Paper. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001; 82: 711-719.

- Drummond MF, Stoddart GL, Torrance GW. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1987.
- Udvarhelyi IS, Colditz G, Rai A, Epstein A. Cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit analyses in the medical literature. Ann Int Med 1992; 116: 238–244.
- Turner-Stokes L. Politics, policy and payment facilitators or barriers to person-centred rehabilitation? Disabil Rehabil 2007; 29: 1575–1582.
- Tay-Teo K, Moodie M, Bernhardt J, Thrift AG, Collier J, Donnan G, et al. Economic evaluation alongside a phase II, multi-centre, randomised controlled trial of very early rehabilitation after stroke (AVERT). Cerebrovasc Dis 2008; 26: 475–481.
- 9. Stucki G, Grimby G. Organizing human functioning and rehabili-

tation research into distinct scientific fields. Part I: Developing a comprehensive structure from the cell to society. J Rehabil Med 2007; 39: 293–298.

- Stucki G, Reinhardt JD, Grimby G. Organizing human functioning and rehabilitation research into distinct scientific fields. Part II: Conceptual descriptions and domains for research. J Rehabil Med 2007; 39: 299–307.
- Darlington AS, Dippel DW, Ribbers GM, van Balen R, Passchier J, Busschbach JJ. A prospective study on coping strategies and quality of life in patients after stroke, assessing prognostic relationships and estimates of cost-effectiveness. J Rehabil Med 2009; 41: 237–241.
- Bachmann S, Wieser S, Oesch P, Schmidhauser S, Knüsel O, Kool J. Three-year cost analysis of function-centred versus pain-centred inpatient rehabilitation in patients with chronic non-specific low back pain. J Rehabil Med 2009; 41: 919–923.