

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The excellent work of Ellen Munk, physiotherapist and Bodil Landt, laboratory technician is gratefully acknowledged. This investigation was supported by The Danish Association Against Rheumatic Diseases.

REFERENCES

1. Abel, O., Siebert, W. J. & Earp, R.: Fibrositis. *J Missouri Med Ass* 36: 435, 1939.
2. Adler, I.: Muscular rheumatism. *Med Rec* 57: 529, 1900.
3. Award, E. A.: Interstitial myofibrositis. Hypothesis of the mechanism. *Arch Phys Med & Rehab* 54: 449, 1973.
4. Bonica, J. J.: Management of myofascial pain syndromes in general practice. *JAMA* 164: 732, 1957.
5. Brendstrup, P., Jespersen, K. & Asboe-Hansen, G.: Morphological and chemical connective tissue changes in fibrositic muscles. *Ann Rheum Dis* 16: 438, 1957.
6. Collins, D. H.: Fibrositis and infection. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2: 114, 1940.
7. Cooper, A. L.: Trigger-point injection: its place in physical medicine. *Arch Phys Med & Rehab* 42: 704, 1961.
8. Gorrill, R. L.: Musculofascial pain. *JAMA* 142: 557, 1950.
9. Fassbender, H. G. & Wegner, K.: Morphologie und Pathogenese des Weichteilrheumatismus. *Z Rheumaforsch* 32: 355, 1973.
10. Gowers, W. R.: Lumbago: its lessons and analogues. *Br Med J* 1: 117, 1904.
11. Hensch, P. S.: Fibrositis. *Ann Int Med* 10: 878, 1936.
12. Kagen, L. J.: Myoglobin: Methods and diagnostic uses. *CRC Crit Rev Clin Sci* 9(4): 273, 1978.
13. Kraft, G. H., Johnson, E. W. & LaBan, M. M.: The fibrositis syndrome. *Arch Phys Med & Rehab* 49: 155, 1968.
14. Kraft, J., Aastrup, H. & Schröder, P.: Diagnostic value for acute myocardial infarction of creatine kinase and lactate dehydrogenase isoenzymes compared with total enzymes. *Acta Med Scand* 203: 167, 1978.
15. Krusen, F. H., Kottke, F. J. & Ellwood, P. M.: *Handbook of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1965.
16. Miehke, K., Schulze, G. & Eger, W.: Klinische und experimentelle Untersuchungen zum Fibrositis Syndrom. *Z Rheumaforsch* 19: 310, 1960.
17. Recommended Methods for the Determination of Four Enzymes in Blood. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 33 (4): 287, 1974.
18. Simons, D. G.: Muscle pain syndromes Parts 1 and 2. Special review. *Am J Phys Med* 54: 289, 1975; 55: 15, 1976.
19. Smythe, H. A.: Nonarticular rheumatism and psychogenic musculoskeletal syndromes. *In Arthritis and Allied Conditions* (ed. Daniel J. McCarty), pp. 881-891. Lea & Febiger, 1979.
20. Sola, A. E., Rodenberger, M. L. & Gettys, B. B.: Incidence of hypersensitive areas in posterior shoulder muscles. *Am J Phys Med* 34: 585, 1955.
21. Stockman, R.: Rheumatism and Arthritis (ed. W. Green). Edinburgh, 1920.
22. Travell, J., Rinzler, S. & Herman, M.: Pain and disability of the shoulder and arm. *JAMA* 120: 417, 1942.
23. Valentine, M.: Aetiology of fibrositis: a review. *Ann Rheum Dis* 6: 241, 1947.
24. Wittenberg, J. B.: Myoglobin-facilitated oxygen diffusion: Role of myoglobin in oxygen entry into muscle. *Phys Rev* 50: 559, 1970.

Address for offprints:

Bente Danneskiold-Samsøe
H. C. Lumbyesgade 18
DK-2100 Copenhagen
Denmark

REDUCED BLOOD FLOW IN FIBROMYOTIC MUSCLES DURING ULTRASOUND THERAPY

Per Klemp, Bent Staberg, Jørgen Korsgård,
Henrik Vagn Nielsen and Poul Crone

From the Department of Medicine, Frederiksberg County Hospital, Hørsholm,
and the Departments of Dermatology and Clinical Physiology,
the Finsen Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark

ABSTRACT. The muscle blood flow (MBF) in m. trapezius was studied in 7 subjects with fibromyotic pain syndrome before and during treatment with ultrasound (1 Watt/cm²) and during placebo treatment, using the local ¹³³Xe-washout technique. MBF in the fibromyotic muscles was significantly reduced during ultrasound treatment ($p < 0.05$) compared to the blood flow before the treatment and during placebo treatment $1.57 \pm \text{SEM } 0.52$ and $2.51 \pm \text{SEM } 0.43$ ml/100 g/min, respectively. In 6 normal trapezius muscles the mean MBF was $2.30 \pm \text{SEM } 0.44$ ml/100 g/min before ultrasound treatment and $2.31 \pm \text{SEM } 0.41$ ml/100 g/min during ultrasound therapy. MBF decreased in a lidocaine blocked fibromyotic muscle during ultrasound treatment while no effect on MBF was detectable during ultrasonating a normal lidocaine pretreated muscle. It is concluded that ultrasound treatment decreases MBF in fibromyotic muscles and that this is paradoxical effect of ultrasound might be due to a direct effect on the vessels or a local release of vasoactive substances in the fibromyotic muscles.

Key words: Muscle blood flow, ¹³³Xe clearance, fibromyotic pain syndrome, myofascial pain syndrome, trigger points, ultrasound therapy

It is generally believed that treatment of fibromyotic muscles with ultrasound induces an increase in muscle blood flow. Recently we have shown that blood flow in fibromyotic muscles is not reduced compared to normal muscles (2).

In this study we have measured the blood flow in fibromyotic muscles before, during and after treatment with ultrasound, using the ¹³³Xe clearance method.

METHODS

The study was carried out in 7 volunteers all suffering from chronic, localized changes in the consistency of m. trapezius (trigger points), these being the points from which the actual pain could be provoked.

Muscle blood flow was measured in the supine position after at least 30 min rest at a constant room temperature of

22-23°C, using the local ¹³³Xe-clearance method as described in details elsewhere (4, 6). After injection of 0.1 mCi ¹³³Xe dissolved in isotonic saline (0.1 ml) into the trigger point a thin layer of glycerine was applied on the dorsal side of the m. trapezius over the depot. A NaI scintillation detector was placed 15 cm over the radioactive field on the ventral side of the muscle. This procedure made it possible to measure the MBF during ultrasound therapy.

15-20 min after the injection the disappearance of ¹³³Xe was monitored at 20 sec intervals and followed for at least 6 min before the ultrasound treatment. The ultrasound was then applied using a Siemens Sonostat 623 with continuous output, 1 Watt/cm². The sound head was moved in circles at a constant rate over the trigger point. When the washout of the tracer was monoexponential for at least 6 min the subjects were told that the treatment with ultrasound was changed to another intensity and the procedure was continued with 0 Watt/cm² until the washout of the tracer was monoexponential in at least another 6 min. Each experiment was terminated by a series of isometric contractions of the m. trapezius in order to check that ¹³³Xe washout could be increased when exercise hyperemia occurred. Thus we were sure that the tracer depot had been injected into the muscle and not into subcutaneous tissue.

Six experiments were performed in which MBF was measured before and during ultrasounding normal trapezius muscles. In one subject MBF was examined before and during ultrasound treatment 75 min after injection of lidocaine into the trigger point (4 ml, 1% lidocaine). The same procedure was performed in one subject without any symptoms from the trapezius muscle.

Muscle blood flow was calculated from the slopes of the washout curves using the equation:

$$f = K_{xe} \cdot \lambda \cdot 100 \text{ (ml/100 g/min)}$$

where K_{xe} is the rate constant and $\lambda = 0.7$ the tissue to blood partition coefficient.

Linear correlation by the least squares method, and Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to analyse the data. As limit of significance was chosen $p = 0.05$. Informed consent was obtained after the subjects had been explained the purpose and risks by the examination.

Table 1. Muscle blood flow (MBF \pm 1 SE, ml/100 g/min) before and during treatment with ultrasound and during placebo treatment in 7 subjects with myofascial pain syndrome

	MBF before (ref.)	MBF ultrasound	MBF placebo (ref.)	MBF (ref.+ref ₂)/2
A	3.60 \pm 0.08	1.16 \pm 0.06	2.26 \pm 0.06	2.93 \pm 0.01
B	1.90 \pm 0.04	0.65 \pm 0.06	1.71 \pm 0.08	1.81 \pm 0.09
C	0.85 \pm 0.03	0.33 \pm 0.04	0.94 \pm 0.03	0.90 \pm 0.04
D	5.25 \pm 0.05	4.46 \pm 0.04	3.65 \pm 0.16	4.45 \pm 0.17
E	2.34 \pm 0.06	2.02 \pm 0.04	1.90 \pm 0.09	2.12 \pm 0.11
F	2.74 \pm 0.06	0.99 \pm 0.06	3.83 \pm 0.28	3.29 \pm 0.29
G	2.06 \pm 0.03	1.40 \pm 0.04	2.13 \pm 0.05	2.10 \pm 0.06
Mean	2.68	1.57	2.35	2.51
\pm 1 SE	0.53	0.52	0.39	0.43
<i>p</i> <	0.05 ^a			

^a Ref₁+ref₂/2 compared to MBF during ultrasound treatment.

RESULTS

The mean blood flow in the fibromyotonic muscles was 2.68 \pm SEM 0.53 ml/100 g/min before the ultrasound treatment. During treatment the mean blood flow was 1.57 \pm SEM 0.57 ml/100 g/min. After the treatment the mean blood flow increased to 2.35 \pm SEM 0.39 ml/100 g/min. The MBF in the fibromyotonic muscles was significantly reduced during ultrasound therapy (p <0.05), Table I.

Ultrasounding normal muscles induced an increase in MBF in 3 and a decrease in 1 subject. In two subjects the MBF was practically unaffected by ultrasound treatment (Table II). In one lidocaine pretreated fibromyotonic muscle the ultrasound induced a decrease in the blood flow from 0.88 \pm 0.03 ml/100 g/min before the treatment to 0.59 \pm 0.06 ml/100 g/min during ultrasound treatment.

In one normal lidocaine blocked muscle the blood flow was 2.47 \pm 0.07 ml/100 g/min before the ultrasound treatment. During ultrasound therapy MBF was 2.48 \pm 0.12 ml/100 g/min.

DISCUSSION

The ultrasound treatment induced a decrease in the resting blood flow in all the fibromyotonic muscles, and during the subsequent placebo ultrasound therapy the MBF increased to values near the values before ultrasounding the fibromyotonic muscles. It has previously been shown that ultrasound has no significant effect on MBF immediately after ultrasound treatment of normal muscles (1, 5). Our

results show that the MBF during ultrasound therapy is not significantly altered.

The reason for the paradoxical effect of ultrasound on MBF in fibromyotonic muscles is unknown.

Ultrasound may alter nerve conduction velocity (3). However, we observed a decrease in blood flow during ultrasound treatment of a lidocaine blocked fibromyotonic muscle while no effect of ultrasound on MBF in a normal lidocaine blocked muscle was detectable. This indicates that the paradoxical response of ultrasound on fibromyotonic muscle blood flow might be due to either a direct effect on the vessels or a local release of a vasoactive substance maybe from sympathetic nerve endings.

We conclude, therefore, that any beneficial effect on fibromyotonic muscle is not due to an increase in MBF since ultrasound therapy decreases the blood flow in fibromyotonic muscles.

Table II. Muscle blood flow before and during ultrasound treatment (1 Watt/cm²) in 6 normal subjects

	Before	During
	2.26 \pm 0.11	2.40 \pm 0.10
	3.89 \pm 0.13	2.69 \pm 0.29
	2.67 \pm 0.10	3.67 \pm 0.10
	2.80 \pm 0.09	2.84 \pm 0.11
	1.25 \pm 0.02	1.33 \pm 0.05
	0.95 \pm 0.03	0.97 \pm 0.04
Mean	2.30	2.31
\pm 1 SE	0.44	0.41

REFERENCES

- Hansen, T. I. & Kristensen, J. H.: Effect of massage, shortwave diathermy and ultrasound upon ¹³³Xe disappearance rate from muscle and subcutaneous tissue in the human calf. *Scand J Rehab Med* 5: 179, 1973.
- Klemp, P., Nielsen, H. V., Kosgård, J. & Crone, P.: Blood flow in fibromyotonic muscles. *Scand J Rehab Med* (in press).
- Madsen, P. W. & Gersten, J. W.: The effect of ultrasound on conduction velocity of peripheral nerve. *Arch Phys Med* 42: 645, 1961.
- Lassen, N. A., Lindbjerg, J. & Munck, O.: Measurements of blood flow through skeletal muscle by intramuscular injection of Xenon-133. *Lancet* i: 686, 1964.
- Paaske, W., Hovind, H. & Sejrnsen, P.: Ultralyd-behandling og vævs-gennemblødning. *Ugeskr Læg* 135: 2087, 1973.
- Tønnesen, K. H. & Sejrnsen, P.: Washout of ¹³³Xenon after intramuscular injection and direct measurement of blood flow in skeletal muscle. *Scand J Clin Invest* 25: 71, 1970.

Address for offprints:

Per Klemp
Department of Dermatology
The Finsen Institute
Strandboulevarden 49
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø
Denmark

Table 1. Muscle blood flow (MBF \pm 1 SE, ml/100 g/min) before and during treatment with ultrasound and during placebo treatment in 7 subjects with myofascial pain syndrome

	MBF before (ref.)	MBF ultrasound	MBF placebo (ref.)	MBF (ref.+ref ₂)/2
A	3.60 \pm 0.08	1.16 \pm 0.06	2.26 \pm 0.06	2.93 \pm 0.01
B	1.90 \pm 0.04	0.65 \pm 0.06	1.71 \pm 0.08	1.81 \pm 0.09
C	0.85 \pm 0.03	0.33 \pm 0.04	0.94 \pm 0.03	0.90 \pm 0.04
D	5.25 \pm 0.05	4.46 \pm 0.04	3.65 \pm 0.16	4.45 \pm 0.17
E	2.34 \pm 0.06	2.02 \pm 0.04	1.90 \pm 0.09	2.12 \pm 0.11
F	2.74 \pm 0.06	0.99 \pm 0.06	3.83 \pm 0.28	3.29 \pm 0.29
G	2.06 \pm 0.03	1.40 \pm 0.04	2.13 \pm 0.05	2.10 \pm 0.06
Mean	2.68	1.57	2.35	2.51
\pm 1 SE	0.53	0.52	0.39	0.43
<i>p</i> <	0.05 ^a			

^a Ref₁+ref₂/2 compared to MBF during ultrasound treatment.

RESULTS

The mean blood flow in the fibromyotonic muscles was 2.68 \pm SEM 0.53 ml/100 g/min before the ultrasound treatment. During treatment the mean blood flow was 1.57 \pm SEM 0.57 ml/100 g/min. After the treatment the mean blood flow increased to 2.35 \pm SEM 0.39 ml/100 g/min. The MBF in the fibromyotonic muscles was significantly reduced during ultrasound therapy (p <0.05), Table I.

Ultrasounding normal muscles induced an increase in MBF in 3 and a decrease in 1 subject. In two subjects the MBF was practically unaffected by ultrasound treatment (Table II). In one lidocaine pretreated fibromyotonic muscle the ultrasound induced a decrease in the blood flow from 0.88 \pm 0.03 ml/100 g/min before the treatment to 0.59 \pm 0.06 ml/100 g/min during ultrasound treatment.

In one normal lidocaine blocked muscle the blood flow was 2.47 \pm 0.07 ml/100 g/min before the ultrasound treatment. During ultrasound therapy MBF was 2.48 \pm 0.12 ml/100 g/min.

DISCUSSION

The ultrasound treatment induced a decrease in the resting blood flow in all the fibromyotonic muscles, and during the subsequent placebo ultrasound therapy the MBF increased to values near the values before ultrasounding the fibromyotonic muscles. It has previously been shown that ultrasound has no significant effect on MBF immediately after ultrasound treatment of normal muscles (1, 5). Our

results show that the MBF during ultrasound therapy is not significantly altered.

The reason for the paradoxical effect of ultrasound on MBF in fibromyotonic muscles is unknown.

Ultrasound may alter nerve conduction velocity (3). However, we observed a decrease in blood flow during ultrasound treatment of a lidocaine blocked fibromyotonic muscle while no effect of ultrasound on MBF in a normal lidocaine blocked muscle was detectable. This indicates that the paradoxical response of ultrasound on fibromyotonic muscle blood flow might be due to either a direct effect on the vessels or a local release of a vasoactive substance maybe from sympathetic nerve endings.

We conclude, therefore, that any beneficial effect on fibromyotonic muscle is not due to an increase in MBF since ultrasound therapy decreases the blood flow in fibromyotonic muscles.

Table II. Muscle blood flow before and during ultrasound treatment (1 Watt/cm²) in 6 normal subjects

	Before	During
	2.26 \pm 0.11	2.40 \pm 0.10
	3.89 \pm 0.13	2.69 \pm 0.29
	2.67 \pm 0.10	3.67 \pm 0.10
	2.80 \pm 0.09	2.84 \pm 0.11
	1.25 \pm 0.02	1.33 \pm 0.05
	0.95 \pm 0.03	0.97 \pm 0.04
Mean	2.30	2.31
\pm 1 SE	0.44	0.41

REFERENCES

- Hansen, T. I. & Kristensen, J. H.: Effect of massage, shortwave diathermy and ultrasound upon ¹³³Xe disappearance rate from muscle and subcutaneous tissue in the human calf. *Scand J Rehab Med* 5: 179, 1973.
- Klemp, P., Nielsen, H. V., Kosgård, J. & Crone, P.: Blood flow in fibromyotonic muscles. *Scand J Rehab Med* (in press).
- Madsen, P. W. & Gersten, J. W.: The effect of ultrasound on conduction velocity of peripheral nerve. *Arch Phys Med* 42: 645, 1961.
- Lassen, N. A., Lindbjerg, J. & Munck, O.: Measurements of blood flow through skeletal muscle by intramuscular injection of Xenon-133. *Lancet* i: 686, 1964.
- Paaske, W., Hovind, H. & Sejrsen, P.: Ultralyd-behandling og vævs-gennemblødning. *Ugeskr Læg* 135: 2087, 1973.
- Tønnesen, K. H. & Sejrsen, P.: Washout of ¹³³Xenon after intramuscular injection and direct measurement of blood flow in skeletal muscle. *Scand J Clin Invest* 25: 71, 1970.

Address for offprints:

Per Klemp
Department of Dermatology
The Finsen Institute
Strandboulevarden 49
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø
Denmark