

An Analysis of Patient-reported Outcomes in IXORA-S: Comparing Ixekizumab and Ustekinumab over 52 Weeks in Moderate-to-severe Psoriasis

Lluís PUIG¹, Mark LOMAGA², Kristin HOLLISTER³, Yves DUTRONC³, Lovisa BERGGREN⁴ and Peter VAN DE KERKHOFF⁵

¹Department of Dermatology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain,

²DermEdge Research and Probit Medical Research, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, ³Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA, ⁴HaaPACS GmbH, Schriesheim, Germany (formerly), and ⁵Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Patient-reported outcomes are valuable for assessing new psoriasis therapies. This study investigated patient-reported outcomes in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis treated with ixekizumab or ustekinumab, dosed according to their respective labels, for 52 weeks (IXORA-S-NCT02561806). Patient-reported outcomes investigated included patient global assessment, pruritus, skin pain, health-related quality of life, and work productivity. Ixekizumab-treated patients reported greater improvements in patient-reported outcomes sooner after treatment compared with ustekinumab-treated patients, and maintained greater improvements in patient global assessment scores (ixekizumab 0.72, ustekinumab 1.19; $p < 0.001$), rates of Dermatology Life Quality Index (0, 1) (ixekizumab 71.3%, ustekinumab 56.6%, $p < 0.01$), and 36-item Short-form Health survey physical component summary score change from baseline (ixekizumab 5.53, ustekinumab 3.28; $p < 0.05$) at week 52. While clinically meaningful improvements in patient-reported outcomes resulted with either treatment, ixekizumab provided more rapid improvements in patient-reported outcomes and superior outcomes for some assessments through one year of treatment, while maintaining statistically superior improvements in skin severity, as assessed by either physicians or patients.

Key words: patient-reported outcomes; health-related quality of life; ixekizumab; ustekinumab.

Accepted Nov 16, 2020; Epub ahead of print Nov 25, 2020

Acta Derm Venereol 2020; 100: adv00344.

Corr: Lluís Puig, Department of Dermatology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona Medical School, Mas Casanovas 90, ES-08041 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: lpuig@santpau.cat

Resolution of skin plaques is the key endpoint for any psoriasis trial; however, patients and physicians also state the need for equal improvements in patients' quality of life, as measured by patient-reported outcomes (PROs) (1–3). Because psoriasis affects patients both physically and psychologically (4–9), for new therapeutics it is valuable to examine PROs in the context of skin clearance.

IXORA-S was a phase 3, head-to-head trial comparing ixekizumab, a high-affinity monoclonal antibody that selectively targets interleukin (IL)-17A (10), with ustekinumab, an antibody that targets IL-12/23 (11). At

SIGNIFICANCE

While skin clearance is the goal of psoriasis treatment, patients and practitioners also state the need for improvements in patients' quality of life. These improvements are evaluated by various patient-reported outcomes. The results of this study showed that ixekizumab-treated patients had significantly greater improvements in patient-reported outcomes compared with ustekinumab-treated patients across multiple assessments at or before week 12, with the responses being maintained to week 52. As most patients in the IXORA-S trial achieved high levels of skin clearance, the trial results presented here reflect the important improvements in everyday quality of life that come with skin clearance.

week 12 primary endpoint, and continuing through to week 52, ixekizumab was found to be more effective than ustekinumab, as assessed by Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) 75/90/100 and the static Physician's Global Assessment (sPGA) (0, 1) response rates, and safety outcomes were comparable (12, 13). Improvements in PROs, including Itch Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) minimal clinically important difference rates and Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) scores of (0, 1), were also statistically significantly greater for ixekizumab-treated patients compared with ustekinumab-treated patients as early as weeks 2 and 24 (12). The aim of the current study was to assess whether ixekizumab treatment lead to greater and more rapid improvements in PROs, compared with ustekinumab treatment, through to week 52 of IXORA-S.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

IXORA-S (NCT02561806) was a phase 3b, multicentre, randomized, double-blinded, double-dummy, active comparator, and parallel-group trial (12, 13). Patients were randomized 1:1 to receive ixekizumab (IXE; 160 mg starting dose, 80 mg every 2 weeks through to week 12, 80 mg every 4 weeks through to week 52) or ustekinumab (UST; dosed per label, patients ≤ 100.0 kg received 45 mg and patients > 100.0 kg received 90 mg at weeks 0 and 4, then every 12 weeks through to week 52). This study reports the outcomes from baseline to week 52 using validated tools to assess clinical disease severity and patient-reported outcomes (PROs). Some PROs were previously reported for weeks 0–24 (12). The

primary endpoint of the study was to assess the proportion of patients achieving a PASI 90 response at week 12 (12).

Study population

Key inclusion and exclusion criteria have been reported previously (12). Of note, eligible study participants were required to have a baseline PASI ≥ 10 ; to have previously failed, had a contraindication, or exhibited an intolerance to at least one systemic therapy; and no prior treatment with ustekinumab, ixekizumab, or any other interleukin (IL)-17 or IL-12/23 antagonists.

Ethical compliance

Study protocols were approved by applicable Ethical Review Boards (ERB) (study number NCT02561806). The study was performed in accordance with the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences International Ethical Guidelines and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Outcome measures

Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI). PASI scores range from 0 (no psoriasis) to 72 (most severe disease).

Static Physician's Global Assessment. Physician's assessment rated on a 6-point scale (0: clear; 1: minimal; 2: mild; 3: moderate; 4: severe; 5: very severe).

Patient Global Assessments (PtGA). Patient-administered, scale rated on a 0 (clear) to 5 (severe) numerical rating scale (NRS).

Itch numerical rating scale (NRS). A validated patient-reported NRS assessing itch severity on a 0 (no itch) to 10 (worst itch imaginable) scale (14). A minimal clinically important difference (MCID) is an improvement ≥ 4 among patients who reported an Itch NRS score ≥ 4 at baseline (15).

Skin pain visual analogue scale (VAS). A patient-reported tool assessing current skin pain on a 0-mm (no pain) to 100-mm (severe skin pain) horizontal scale.

Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI). A validated patient-administered questionnaire with 10 questions spanning 6 domains (symptoms and feelings; daily activities; leisure; work and school; personal relationships; and treatment) with a total score ranging from 0 to 30 (16, 17); scores of 0 or 1 (0, 1) represent no impact of disease on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (18).

Medical outcomes study 36-item Short-Form health survey (SF-36). SF-36 assesses 8 domains: physical functioning, role-physical, role-emotional, bodily pain, vitality, social functioning, mental health, and general health (19, 20). Composite physical health (PCS) and mental health (MCS) component scores were derived from the individual domains. Lower scores denote greater impairments in quality of life. The acute (one-week recall) version of the scale was used.

European Quality of Life – 5 Dimensions – 5 Level (EQ-5D-5L) and European Quality of Life for Psoriasis (EQ-PsO). The EQ-5D-5L measures dimensions of mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Scores were converted into a single summary index by crosswalking the data using UK population-based index scores (21). The EQ-PsO adds 2 additional psoriatic disease-specific dimensions (skin irritation (itching) and self-confidence) to the standard EQ-5D-5L questionnaire (22). In addition, the 100-mm VAS was used for patient reporting of current health state, with 100-mm indicating “best imaginable health state” and 0-mm indicating “worst imaginable health state”.

Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire for Psoriasis (WPAI:PsO). The WPAI:PsO evaluates 4 dimensions: absenteeism, presenteeism, work productivity loss, and activity impairment (23). Higher scores indicate greater impairment.

Statistical analysis

For continuous variables, mean and standard deviation (SD) are reported; for binary variables both absolute and relative frequencies are reported. Continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Missing values for continuous variables were imputed using modified baseline observation carried forward (mBOCF) (24). Binary variables were compared using Fisher's exact test. Missing values for binary variables were imputed using non-responder imputation. All statistical tests were 2-sided. p -value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. No multiplicity adjustments were performed for these analyses. All analyses were performed using SAS Version 9.4 (Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2014.) and were pre-specified except for the analysis of Itch NRS score distribution over time.

RESULTS

Baseline demographics and patient characteristics were well balanced between the ixekizumab ($n=136$) and ustekinumab ($n=166$) treatment arms (**Table I**). Baseline PASI, PtGA, and sPGA were indicative of moderate-to-severe disease activity. Baseline itch NRS and skin pain VAS scores were also moderate in severity. Baseline DLQI total scores were aligned with moderate-to-severe disease (DLQI > 10) (25). SF-36 MCS and PCS indicated a moderate impact on patient HRQoL.

Both patient and physician assessments of disease severity were investigated using evaluation of sPGA (**Fig. 1A**) and PtGA (**Fig. 1B**), respectively, over a period of 52 weeks. Patients in both treatment groups demonstrated rapid improvements in PtGA and sPGA

Table I. Baseline patient demographics and clinical characteristics

	Ustekinumab ($n=166$)	Ixekizumab ($n=136$)
Age, years, mean (SD)	44.0 (13.3)	42.7 (12.7)
Sex, male, n (%)	112 (67.5)	90 (66.2)
Race, white, n (%)	157 (95.7)	125 (93.3)
Weight, kg, mean (SD)	89.4 (24.8)	85.8 (20.3)
Weight, > 100.0 kg, n (%)	45 (27.1)	31 (23.0)
BMI, kg/m^2 , mean (SD)	29.7 (7.0)	28.8 (5.6)
PASI score, mean (SD)	19.8 (9.0)	19.9 (8.2)
PtGA score, mean (SD)	4.0 (0.9)	4.1 (1.0)
sPGA score, mean (SD)	3.6 (0.6)	3.6 (0.7)
% BSA, mean (SD)	27.5 (16.7)	26.7 (16.5)
Duration of psoriasis (years), mean (SD)	18.2 (12.0)	18.0 (11.1)
Itch NRS, mean (SD)	6.2 (2.6)	6.3 (2.7)
DLQI score, mean (SD)	12.0 (7.3)	11.1 (7.2)
Skin pain VAS, mean (SD)	39.4 (30.8)	42.9 (33.3)
36-item Short-Form Health survey		
MCS, mean (SD)	46.5 (11.9)	47.1 (11.5)
PCS, mean (SD)	48.4 (9.8)	47.3 (9.5)
European Quality of Life – 5 Dimensions		
UK, mean (SD)	0.7 (0.2)	0.7 (0.2)
PsO, mean (SD)	0.7 (0.2)	0.7 (0.2)
VAS, mean (SD)	67.4 (22.4)	66.3 (22.2)
WPAI:PsO		
Absenteeism, mean (SD)	4.3 (14.9)	3.1 (10.7)
Presenteeism, mean (SD)	21.7 (29.1)	22.1 (28.4)
Work Impairment, mean (SD)	22.8 (30.1)	23.8 (29.8)
Activity Impairment, mean (SD)	29.8 (28.4)	28.2 (30.6)

SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; PASI: Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; PtGA: patient global assessments; sPGA: static Physician's Global Assessment; BSA: body surface area; NRS: numerical rating scale; DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; VAS: visual analogue scale; MCS: mental health component score; PCS: physical health component score; PsO: psoriasis; WPAI:PsO: Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire for Psoriasis.




Fig. 1. Static Physician's Global Assessment (sPGA) and patient global assessments (PtGA) among patients with psoriasis treated with ixekizumab (IXE) or ustekinumab (UST) for 52 weeks. PtGA: $^{\dagger}p < 0.01$, $^{\ddagger}p < 0.001$ UST vs IXE; sPGA: $^{**}p < 0.01$, $^{***}p < 0.001$ UST vs IXE. p -values derived from Wilcoxon rank sum test. mBOCF: modified baseline-observation carried forward.

scores (as assessed by change from baseline) during the first 12 weeks, which continued through to week 52 for PtGA (IXE 0.72 ± 0.94 (mean \pm SD), UST 1.19 ± 1.21) and sPGA (IXE 0.64 ± 0.92 , UST 1.14 ± 1.20). PtGA and sPGA scores in the ixekizumab treatment group were statistically significantly more improved, compared with the ustekinumab treatment group, at all post-baseline assessments. Of note, both sPGA and PtGA scores within treatments exhibited numerically similar trends, indicating that patient and physician disease perspectives were similar for these assessments.

Pruritus is one of the leading symptoms in patients with chronic plaque psoriasis. Patients treated with ixekizumab reported greater improvements in Itch NRS sooner after treatment compared with ustekinumab (12), but by week 52, improvements in itch severity were not different between treatment groups (Fig. 2A; IXE -5.01 ± 2.96 , UST -4.55 ± 2.85). A similar trend was seen for the proportion of patients achieving Itch NRS MCID (improvement ≥ 4 , Fig. 2B). A statistically significantly greater proportion of ixekizumab-treated patients achieved Itch NRS (0) early in treatment compared with ustekinumab-treated patients (Fig. 2C), but by week 52, similar proportions of patients in each treatment group reported complete resolution of their itch symptoms (IXE 41.2%, $n = 56$, UST 34.3%, $n = 57$).

To further investigate the severity of pruritus that had not resolved, individual itch NRS response rate levels were defined and overlaid (Fig. 3). Itch NRS scores ≤ 2 and ≤ 1 (including 0) were reported by similar numbers of ixekizumab-treated and ustekinumab-treated patients at week 12 (≤ 2 : IXE $n = 99$, 72.8%, UST $n = 119$, 71.7%; ≤ 1 : IXE $n = 83$, 61.0%, UST $n = 97$, 58.4%). The percentages for Itch NRS scores reported at week 12 for both treatment groups increased slightly, but with some fluctuation, throughout the maintenance period. At week 52, 76.5% ($n = 104$) of ixekizumab-treated patients and 70.5% ($n = 117$) of ustekinumab-treated patients rated their pruritus ≤ 2 , and 64.0% ($n = 87$) of ixekizumab-treated patients and 61.4% ($n = 102$) of ustekinumab-treated patients rated their pruritus ≤ 1 . In summary, pruritus reductions were observed earlier in patients treated with ixekizumab, although by week 24, similar responses were seen in both treatment groups and maintained until week 52.

Skin pain improved rapidly from baseline in both treatment groups (12) and persisted throughout the maintenance period (Fig. 4A). At week 52, the mean change from baseline in skin pain VAS score was -36.54 for ixekizumab-treated patients and -31.79 for ustekinumab-treated patients. Complete resolution of skin pain was achieved by 48.5% ($n = 66$) of ixekizumab-treated




Fig. 2. (A) Change in Itch numerical rating scale (NRS) scores, and (B) the proportions of patients achieving Itch NRS minimal clinically important difference (MCID) (≥ 4 improvement) or (C) Itch NRS (0) when treated with ixekizumab or ustekinumab for 52 weeks. Achievement of Itch NRS MCID was investigated in patients who had Itch NRS scores ≥ 4 at baseline. Itch NRS scores and proportion of patients achieving Itch NRS MCID previously reported for weeks 0–24 (12) $^{*}p < 0.05$, $^{**}p < 0.01$, $^{***}p < 0.001$, all vs UST by (A) Wilcoxon rank sum or (B & C) Fisher's exact test. mBOCF: modified baseline-observation carried forward; NRI: non-responder imputation.




Fig. 3. Response rates for individual Itch numerical rating scale (NRS) scores for (A) ixekizumab-treated and (B) ustekinumab-treated patients through to week 52. Coloured areas: itch NRS responses. Solid vertical line: end of induction period (primary endpoint). Dotted vertical lines: active injections administered during the maintenance period. All patient visits during the maintenance period are represented on the x-axis. Due to missing data at some time-points, total response rates do not always add up to 100, as indicated by the white space at the top of each area plot.




Fig. 4. Change in (A) skin pain visual analogue scale (VAS) and (B) the proportions of patients achieving Skin Pain VAS (0) when treated with ixekizumab (IXE) or ustekinumab (UST) for 52 weeks. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, all vs UST by (A) Wilcoxon rank sum or (B) Fisher's exact test. Skin pain VAS scores previously reported for weeks 0–24 (12). mBOCF: modified baseline observation carried forward; NRI: non-responder imputation.

patients and 41.0% ($n = 68$) of ustekinumab-treated patients at week 52 (Fig. 4B).

Improvements in HRQoL, as assessed by DLQI, were statistically significantly greater for ixekizumab-treated patients within the first month of treatment (week 2 IXE: -6.34 , UST: -3.55 ; week 4 IXE: -7.79 , UST: -5.72 ; Fig. 5A); however, DLQI change from baseline scores were similar at assessed time-points thereafter. DLQI (0, 1) rates were statistically significantly greater

in the ixekizumab treatment group, at all post-baseline assessments (Fig. 5B). At week 52, 71.3% ($n = 97$) of ixekizumab-treated patients and 56.6% ($n = 94$) of ustekinumab-treated patients reported minimal-to-no impact of their disease (DLQI [0, 1]). DLQI component scores are shown in Table S1¹.

¹<https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-3700>




Fig. 5. Change in (A) Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) total scores and (B) the proportion of patients achieving DLQI (0, 1) for patients with psoriasis treated with ixekizumab (IXE) or ustekinumab (UST) for 52 weeks. DLQI (0, 1) results previously reported for weeks 0–24 (12). ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, both vs UST by Wilcoxon rank sum test. mBOCF: modified baseline-observation carried forward; NRI: non-responder imputation.




Fig. 6. Change in 36-item Short-Form Health survey (SF-36) (A) physical and (B) mental component summaries for patients with psoriasis treated with ixekizumab (IXE) or ustekinumab (UST) for 52 weeks. * $p < 0.05$ vs UST by Wilcoxon rank sum test. mBOCF: modified baseline-observation carried forward.

HRQoL was also investigated using SF-36 and EQ-5D. Patients treated with ixekizumab reported statistically significantly greater improvements in SF-36 PCS change from baseline at all post-baseline assessments, including week 52 (IXE: 5.53, UST: 3.28; **Fig. 6A**). No statistical differences were seen between treatment groups in SF-36 MCS change from baseline (**Fig. 6B**). Individual SF-36 domains are shown in **Table SII¹**.

Improvements in EQ-5D-5L index score, EQ-PsO index score, and EQ-5D VAS were observed in both treatment groups (**Table II**). While statistically significantly greater improvements in the EQ-PsO Index Score were reported for ixekizumab-treated patients at weeks 12 (IXE: 0.1512, UST: 0.1173) and 24 (IXE: 0.1561, UST: 0.1210), all other EQ-5D results were comparable between the 2 treatment groups.

Finally, rapid improvements in work productivity and activity impairment, as assessed by the WPAI:PsO questionnaire, were observed in both treatment groups

Table II. Changes in quality of life outcomes reported by patients with psoriasis treated with ixekizumab (IXE) or ustekinumab (UST) for 52 weeks

Change from baseline	Week 12 Mean (SD)	Week 24 Mean (SD)	Week 52 Mean (SD)
EQ-5D-5L UK Index Score			
UST	0.1237 (0.217)	0.1190 (0.212)	0.1258 (0.221)
IXE	0.1682 (0.226)	0.1612 (0.228)	0.1599 (0.242)
EQ-PsO Index Score			
UST	0.1173 (0.160)	0.1210 (0.152)	0.1204 (0.159)
IXE	0.1512 (0.165)*	0.1561 (0.159)*	0.1421 (0.177)
EQ-5D VAS			
UST	10.10 (22.7)	10.72 (22.7)	12.52 (22.1)
IXE	13.56 (22.3)	15.38 (22.4)	13.38 (22.5)

* $p < 0.05$ vs UST by Wilcoxon rank sum test.
SD: standard deviation; EQ-5D-5L: European Quality of Life – 5 Dimensions – 5 Level; EQ-PsO: European Quality of Life for Psoriasis; VAS: visual analogue scale.




Fig. 7. Change in the domains of the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire for Psoriasis (WPAI:PsO) Impairment for patients with psoriasis treated with ixekizumab (IXE) or ustekinumab (UST) for 52 weeks including (A) Absenteeism, (B) Presenteeism, (C) Work Productivity Loss, and (D) Activity Impairment. mBOCF: modified baseline-observation carried forward.

(Fig. 7) with no statistical differences observed in any WPAI:PsO domains between the 2 treatment groups.

DISCUSSION

Overall, in these analyses, treatment with ixekizumab resulted in greater improvements in PROs, compared with ustekinumab treatment, early in treatment. However, both treatments resulted in important clinical improvements in PROs for patients, which were maintained through to week 52. In patients treated with ixekizumab, improvements in disease activity (sPGA and PtGA) and HRQoL remained statistically significantly superior, vs ustekinumab, throughout the maintenance period (including week 52).

It is not uncommon for discordances to be observed between physician and patient-reported outcomes (26, 27). Disease severity assessments in this study allowed for an observational comparison of patient-reported and physician-reported subjective measures. Overlap (within treatment groups) between sPGA and PtGA scores throughout the study indicate similarities between physician and patient perception of disease severity. While other studies have indicated discordant scoring between patient and physician scales (26, 27), IXORA-S has provided an example of alignment between the 2 scales.

High physician-reported disease severity is associated with a greater severity of patient-reported symptoms (pruritus, pain), HRQoL, and impact on work productivity (9). Furthermore, improvements in clinical responses are associated with improvements in PROs (28, 29). As published previously, 52.2% and 76.5% patients treated with ixekizumab achieved PASI100 (completely clear skin) and PASI90 by week 52, respectively, vs 35.5% and 59.0%, respectively, of patients treated with ustekinumab (13). PRO results presented here illustrate greater improvements in ixekizumab-treated patients, particularly early in treatment, compared with ustekinumab-treated patients. Given that the majority of patients treated in IXORA-S achieved PASI90 or PASI100, it follows that these patients would report clinically significant improvements in a number of PROs, as reflected in the results of the current study.

Study limitations and strength

Limitations of this study include lack of a placebo arm, potentially biasing PROs, and the relatively short trial duration. Changes in quality of life may require longer treatment periods in order for the benefits of treatment to peak, as opposed to outcomes assessing itch or pain. In addition, these medications possess differences in their peak onset of efficacy, as previously noted (12); therefore, the timing of peak improvements in PROs and their association with peaks in clinical efficacy may differ between the 2 treatments.

A strength of this analysis is the inclusion of disease-specific validated PRO measures to highlight the patient perspective in psoriasis.

Conclusion

Ixekizumab treatment resulted in greater improvements at or before week 12 across the majority of PRO measures, compared with ustekinumab treatment; both treatments resulted in clinically meaningful improvements for patients across the PROs assessed, and these responses were maintained through to 52 weeks (12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Brian S. Comer, PhD, and Chenyun Tan, PharmD, for medical writing assistance during the preparation of this manuscript. Kannan R MSc, MTech for editorial assistance during the preparation of this manuscript. Russel Burge for his advice on PRO measures.

Conflicts of interest: LP reports receipt of honoraria or consultation fees from Abbvie, Amgen, Baxalta, Biogen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Gebro, Janssen, Leo Pharma, Lilly, Merck Serono, MSD, Mylan, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Roche, Sandoz, Samsung-Bioepis, Sanofi, and UCB. ML reports receipt honoraria and/or consultation fees from Abbvie, Amgen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Dermira, Janssen, Leo Pharma, Lilly, Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Sandoz, Sun Pharma, Sanofi, and UCB. KH and YD are employees and shareholders of Eli Lilly and Company. LB was a former employee of HaaPACS GmbH on behalf of Eli Lilly and Company. PvdK reports fees for consultancy service or lectureships from Celgene, Amgen, Abbvie, Amgen, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Leo Pharma, Bristol Myers Squibb and Dermavant.

REFERENCES

1. Feldman SR, Malakouti M, Koo JY. Social impact of the burden of psoriasis: effects on patients and practice. *Dermatol Online J* 2014; 20: 13030/qt48r4w8h2.
2. Reich K, Mrowietz U. Treatment goals in psoriasis. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2007; 5: 566–574.
3. Strober B, Papp KA, Lebwohl M, Reich K, Paul C, Blauvelt A, et al. Clinical meaningfulness of complete skin clearance in psoriasis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2016; 75: 77–82.e77.
4. Kimball AB, Jacobson C, Weiss S, Vreeland MG, Wu Y. The psychosocial burden of psoriasis. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2005; 6: 383–392.
5. Bhosle MJ, Kulkarni A, Feldman SR, Balkrishnan R. Quality of life in patients with psoriasis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2006; 4: 35.
6. Lewis-Beck C, Abouzaid S, Xie L, Baser O, Kim E. Analysis of the relationship between psoriasis symptom severity and quality of life, work productivity, and activity impairment among patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis using structural equation modeling. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2013; 7: 199–205.
7. Weiss SC, Kimball AB, Liewehr DJ, Blauvelt A, Turner ML, Emanuel EJ. Quantifying the harmful effect of psoriasis on health-related quality of life. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002; 47: 512–518.
8. Young M. The psychological and social burdens of psoriasis. *Dermatol Nurs* 2005; 17: 15–19.
9. Korman NJ, Zhao Y, Pike J, Roberts J. Relationship between psoriasis severity, clinical symptoms, quality of life and work productivity among patients in the USA. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2016; 41: 514–521.
10. Liu L, Lu J, Allan BW, Tang Y, Tetreault J, Chow CK, et al.

Generation and characterization of ixekizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody that neutralizes interleukin-17A. *J Inflamm Res* 2016; 9: 39–50.

11. Papp KA, Langley RG, Lebwohl M, Krueger GG, Szapary P, Yeilding N, et al. Efficacy and safety of ustekinumab, a human interleukin-12/23 monoclonal antibody, in patients with psoriasis: 52-week results from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (PHOENIX 2). *Lancet* 2008; 371: 1675–1684.
12. Reich K, Pinter A, Lacour JP, Ferrandiz C, Micali G, French LE, et al. Comparison of ixekizumab with ustekinumab in moderate-to-severe psoriasis: 24-week results from IXORA-S, a phase III study. *Br J Dermatol* 2017; 177: 1014–1023.
13. Paul C, Griffiths CEM, van de Kerkhof PCM, Puig L, Dutronc Y, Hennekes C, et al. Ixekizumab provides superior efficacy compared to ustekinumab over 52-weeks of treatment: results from IXORA-S, a phase 3 study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2019; 80: 70–79.e3.
14. Shikiar R, Bresnahan BW, Stone SP, Thompson C, Koo J, Revicki DA. Validity and reliability of patient reported outcomes used in psoriasis: results from two randomized clinical trials. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003; 1: 53.
15. Kimball AB, Naegeli AN, Edson-Heredia E, Lin CY, Gaich C, Nikai E, et al. Psychometric properties of the Itch Numeric Rating Scale in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol* 2016; 175: 157–162.
16. Basra MK, Fenech R, Gatt RM, Salek MS, Finlay AY. The Dermatology Life Quality Index 1994–2007: a comprehensive review of validation data and clinical results. *Br J Dermatol* 2008; 159: 997–1035.
17. Finlay AY, Khan GK. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) – a simple practical measure for routine clinical use. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 1994; 19: 210–216.
18. Hongbo Y, Thomas CL, Harrison MA, Salek MS, Finlay AY. Translating the science of quality of life into practice: What do dermatology life quality index scores mean? *J Invest Dermatol* 2005; 125: 659–664.
19. Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 Health survey: manual and interpretation guide. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1993.
20. Shikiar R, Willian MK, Okun MM, Thompson CS, Revicki DA. The validity and responsiveness of three quality of life measures in the assessment of psoriasis patients: results of a phase II study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2006; 4: 71.
21. Swinburn P, Lloyd A, Boye KS, Edson-Heredia E, Bowman L, Janssen B. Development of a disease-specific version of the EQ-5D-5L for use in patients suffering from psoriasis: lessons learned from a feasibility study in the UK. *Value Health* 2013; 16: 1156–1162.
22. Pickard AS, Gooderham M, Hartz S, Nicolay C. EQ-5D health utilities: exploring ways to improve upon responsiveness in psoriasis. *J Med Econ* 2017; 20: 19–27.
23. Lebwohl MG, Kavanaugh A, Armstrong AW, Van Voorhees AS. US perspectives in the management of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: patient and physician results from the population-based multinational assessment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis (MAPP) survey. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2016; 17: 87–97.
24. Gordon KB, Blauvelt A, Papp KA, Langley RG, Luger T, Ohtsuki M, et al. Phase 3 trials of ixekizumab in moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375: 345–356.
25. Mrowietz U, Kragballe K, Reich K, Spuls P, Griffiths CE, Nast A, et al. Definition of treatment goals for moderate to severe psoriasis: a European consensus. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2011; 303: 1–10.
26. Schafer I, Hacker J, Rustenbach SJ, Radtke M, Franzke N, Augustin M. Concordance of the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) and patient-reported outcomes in psoriasis treatment. *Eur J Dermatol* 2010; 20: 62–67.
27. Lebwohl MG, Bachelez H, Barker J, Girolomoni G, Kavanaugh A, Langley RG, et al. Patient perspectives in the management of psoriasis: results from the population-based multinational assessment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis survey. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2014; 70: 871–881.e871–830.
28. Edson-Heredia E, Banerjee S, Zhu B, Maeda-Chubachi T, Cameron GS, Shen W, et al. A high level of clinical response is associated with improved patient-reported outcomes in psoriasis: analyses from a phase 2 study in patients treated with ixekizumab. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2016; 30: 864–865.
29. Viswanathan HN, Chau D, Milmont CE, Yang W, Erondy N, Revicki DA, et al. Total skin clearance results in improvements in health-related quality of life and reduced symptom severity among patients with moderate to severe psoriasis. *J Dermatolog Treat* 2015; 26: 235–239.