



Sex Differences in Axial and Limb Distribution of Melanocytic Naevi

Calogero PAGLIARELLO¹, Ignazio STANGANELLI², Fabio ZAMBITO-SPADARO¹, Claudio FELICIANI¹ and Sergio DI NUZZO¹
¹Section of Dermatology, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Parma, Via Gramsci 14, IT-43100 Parma, and
²Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (IRST) IRCCS, Meldola, Italy. E-mail: calogero.pagliarello@libero.it
 Accepted Aug 17, 2016; Epub ahead of print Aug 22, 2016

Melanomas are more frequent on the trunk in males and the legs in females (1). Moreover, a predominance of melanomas on the left side of the body has been described (2). Although naevus counts represent the strongest risk factor for developing melanomas, data about the distribution and side predominance of melanocytic naevi (MN) in adults are lacking. Recently, a study involving twins has suggested that the upper and lower limbs have different responses to ultraviolet (UV) radiation for genetic expression of MN compared with the head, neck and chest (3). We consider this issue to be of interest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Consecutive outpatients attending the Parma Dermatology Outpatient Clinic between October 2013 and January 2014 were asked to participate in this study. After signing informed consent, demographic data, such as sex, age, body mass index (BMI), smoking, and having parents with numerous moles, were recorded. A questionnaire eliciting sun exposure in infancy and adult life was also administered. The questionnaire consisted of 21 questions about lifetime history of sun exposure for recreational and occupational reasons, clothing while in the sun, exposure to non-solar sources of UV radiation, and use of sunscreens (Appendix S1¹). The questionnaire has not been validated in terms of comprehension and reproducibility. It required approximately 10 min to complete. All the information was merged into a semi-quantitative score, arbitrarily ranging from 1 to 9 for childhood sun exposure and from 1 to 33 for adulthood sun exposure. The Skin Fitzpatrick Phototype (ranging from 1 to 6) and skin photodamage (evaluated on a physician global assessment scale based on evaluation of characteristic features, such as wrinkling and pigmentary change, ranging from 1 (mild pigment changes, no keratosis) to 10 (obvious sunburn freckles, visible capillaries, visible keratosis) were also assessed by a dermatologist. The number of MN for each of 36 anatomical sites and side (left vs. right) was recorded. Lesions with diameters >2 mm for each anatomical site were considered, according to a previous study (4). A simple instrument (nevometer) was used to assess the diameter. For analysis purposes, continuous variables were dichotomized at a median value (age 44 years; body mass index (BMI) 25 kg/m²; childhood sun exposure 5; adulthood sun exposure 19, phototype 3; and photodamage 3). The ratio between head and trunk and total MN (the nevotype (a high value suggests an axial naevus predominance, whereas a low value indicates limb naevus predominance)) as well as the ratio between right hemisoma naevus count over total naevus count were calculated and compared among the considered variables. Multivariate analysis was used to assess each variable contribution in predicting nevotype values. Data were analysed with IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21.0 [released in 2012]; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 111 patients agreed to participate in the study. No patients declined enrolment. Patient's mean ± standard deviation (SD) age was 44.14 ± 16.3 years, whereas median age was 40 years (15–81 years). There were no statistical differences among age values between sex (males age mean ± SD: 44.58 ± 18.1, females age mean ± SD: 43.75 ± 14.7, *p*=0.8). Values for the following variables are shown in Table S1¹: total MN count, ratio between trunk and head naevus count over total naevus count, and ratio between MN on the right hemisoma over total naevus count. Median ± standard error of mean value for the total MN count was 31 ± 3.8. The total MN count was higher in patients <44 years of age (*p*<0.01), among patients with parents who had numerous moles (*p*<0.01), and in patients with a dark skin phototype (*p*<0.05) (Table S1¹). Considering MN distribution, male patients and those with a dark skin phototype had more frequent axial localization of MN (trunk and head naevus count over total naevus count ratio ± SD, 0.62 ± 0.1; female sex, 0.52 ± 0.3; *p*<0.01; fair phototype, 0.54 ± 0.2; dark phototype, 0.60 ± 0.3; *p*<0.05). However, no significant differences were noted about MN distribution for age, BMI, smoking, having parents with numerous moles, sun exposure in infancy, or sun exposure in adulthood as noted by questionnaire and skin photodamage. Considering the distribution among left and right hemisomas, we found that patients with a dark phototype presented with a left dominance of MN (ratio between MN on the right hemisoma over total naevus count (mean ± SD): fair phototype, 0.55 ± 0.1; dark skin phototype, 0.51 ± 0.1; *p*<0.05), but when adjusting for confounders, this association was not confirmed. In a model considering sex, age, sun exposure in infancy, sun exposure in adulthood, skin phototype, and photodamage, a high trunk/limb MN ratio was significantly linked to male sex (odds ratio (OR) 2.9, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.1–7.6, *p*<0.05), and to a darker phototype (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.0–4.6, *p*<0.05), whereas there was an inverse relationship with high age (OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.2–1.6, *p*>0.05) and skin photodamage (OR 0.8, 95% CI 0.6–1.2, *p*>0.05), all other factors being equal (Table SIII¹).

DISCUSSION

This study revealed sex differences in axial and limb distribution of MN, which seems similar to melanomas, presenting more commonly on the trunk in males and

¹<https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2518>

on the legs in females. A left-side predominance of MN distribution, as previously described for melanomas (2), was not noted.

We found that MN counts in the elderly decreased and that the number of parental moles was linked to patient naevus counts, as previously reported (5); we found a lack of influence of sun exposure in infancy on total number of MN (5). Although the questionnaire for sun exposure we used is similar to others used in the literature, it has never been validated, thus possibly underestimating sun exposure in children. Recall bias should be also considered. Furthermore, the patient sample was not perfectly random.

Previous studies suggest that male children and adolescents have more MN than their female counterparts on the head, neck and trunk, while prevalence in females is higher on the limbs (6–9). Some authors have also suggested that naevi on the legs in girls might develop later than naevi elsewhere on the body (7). Since our study was cross-sectional, it cannot clarify whether the higher number of naevi on women's limbs can be explained by an increase from adolescence to adulthood and whether it varies in different populations.

The development of MN is a multi-factorial process that involves pre- and post-natal steps. To explain age-related variations in MN count, Zalaudek et al. (10) proposed that congenital, compounded, and dermal naevi could originate early in childhood and persist throughout life and are influenced by genetic factors. Junctional naevi are believed to be induced from exogenous factors, such as UV radiation, and, in the course of time, disappear due to involution (10). It has been postulated that, in addition to neural crest cells migrating in the dorsolateral pathway, melanocytes could also be derived from Schwann cell precursors present in nerves as part of post-natal tissue maintenance (11), corroborating the Cramer hypothesis of naevogenesis (12). It could be speculated that endogenous nevogenesis accounts for axial naevi and exogenous factor-driven naevogenesis would preferentially act for limb naevi. According to this hypothesis, the protective role of a darker phototype toward UV exposure could thus prevent the formation of nerve-derived naevi after UV injury, resulting in a trunk predominance of naevi compared with patients with a fair phototype.

It is also possible that regional differences in the sensitivity of melanocyte precursors to sexual hormones

could exist (13), probably unrelated to UV exposure, as suggested by a study on Hutterite children, in whom traditional clothing covers all areas of the body (14).

REFERENCES

- Chevalier V, Barbe C, Le Clainche A, Arnoult G, Bernard P, Higon E, et al. Comparison of anatomical locations of cutaneous melanoma in men and women: a population-based study in France. *Br J Dermatol* 2014; 171: 595–601.
- Brewster DH, Horner MJ, Rowan S, Jelfs P, de Vries E, Pukkala E. Left-sided excess of invasive cutaneous melanoma in six countries. *Eur J Cancer* 2007; 43: 2634–2637.
- Lee S, Duffy DL, McClenahan P, Lee KJ, McEniery E, Burke B, et al. Heritability of naevus patterns in an adult twin cohort from the Brisbane twin registry – a cross-sectional study. *Br J Dermatol* 2016; 174: 356–363.
- English DR, MacLennan R, Rivers J, Kelly J, Armstrong BK. Epidemiological studies of melanocytic naevi: protocol for identifying and recording naevi. (IARC internal report no. 90/002). Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1990.
- Wiecker TS, Luther H, Buettner P, Bauer J, Garbe C. Moderate sun exposure and nevus counts in parents are associated with development of melanocytic nevi in childhood: a risk factor study in 1,812 kindergarten children. *Cancer* 2003; 97: 628–638.
- Autier P, Boniol M, Severi G, Pedeux R, Grivegnée AR, Doré JF. Sex differences in numbers of nevi on body sites of young European children: implications for the etiology of cutaneous melanoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004; 13: 2003–2005.
- Gallagher RP, McLean DI, Yang CP, Coldman AJ, Silver HK, Spinelli JJ, et al. Anatomic distribution of acquired melanocytic nevi in white children. A comparison with melanoma: the Vancouver Mole Study. *Arch Dermatol* 1990; 126: 466–471.
- Aguilera P, Puig S, Guilabert A, Julià M, Romero D, Vicente A, González-Enseñat MA, et al. Prevalence study of nevi in children from Barcelona. Dermoscopy, constitutional and environmental factors. *Dermatology* 2009; 218: 203–214.
- Buendía-Eisman A, Paláu-Lázaro MC, Arias-Santiago S, Cabrera-León A, Serrano-Ortega S. Prevalence of melanocytic nevi in 8- to 10-year-old children in Southern Spain and analysis of associated factors. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2012; 26: 1558–1564.
- Zalaudek I, Hofmann-Wellenhof R, Kittler H, Argenziano G, Ferrara G, Petrillo L, et al. A dual concept of nevogenesis: theoretical considerations based on dermoscopic features of melanocytic nevi. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2007; 5: 985–992.
- Ernfors P. Cellular origin and developmental mechanisms during the formation of skin melanocytes. *Exp Cell Res* 2010; 316: 1397–1407.
- Cramer SF. The origin of epidermal melanocytes. Implications for the histogenesis of nevi and melanomas. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1991; 115: 115–119.
- Schmidt AN, Nanney LB, Boyd AS, King LE Jr, Ellis DL. Oestrogen receptor-beta expression in melanocytic lesions. *Exp Dermatol* 2006; 15: 971–980.
- Kwan TY, Belke TW, Enta T. Sex differences in the anatomical distribution of melanocytic nevi in Canadian Hutterite children. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2000; 4: 58–62.