

REVIEW ARTICLE

Biopsychosocial Mechanisms of Chronic Itch in Patients with Skin Diseases: a Review

Elisabeth W. M. VERHOEVEN¹, Suzanne DE KLERK¹, Floris W. KRAAIMAAT¹, Peter C. M. VAN DE KERKHOF², Elke M. G. J. DE JONG² and Andrea W. M. EVERS^{1,2}

Departments of ¹Medical Psychology and ²Dermatology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Itch is a major feature of many skin diseases, which adversely affects patient's quality of life. Besides disease severity, psychophysiological factors have been proposed to influence the itch sensation. In this review the evidence for a biopsychosocial model of itch is described, focusing in particular on evidence for the effects of personality characteristics, external stressors, cognitive, behavioural and social factors, and the possible mediating role of physiological processes. Research so far indicates that stressors may have a role in the itch sensation of patients with skin diseases. Furthermore, cognitive factors, such as helplessness and worrying, and the behavioural response of scratching have been indicated as possible worsening factors. Overall, findings are in favour of a biopsychosocial model for the itch sensation. However, there is a strong need for more, methodologically sound research in order fully to understand the processes underlying the itch sensation. Key words: itch; scratch; skin diseases; psychological factors; biopsychosocial model.

(Accepted January 7, 2008.)

Acta Derm Venereol 2008; 88: 211–218.

E. W. M. Verhoeven, Department of Medical Psychology (840), Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, PO Box 9101, NL-6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. E-mail: l.verhoeven@mps.umcn.nl

Itch is a major symptom of many chronic skin conditions and affects a considerable number of patients with, for example, atopic dermatitis, contact dermatitis, urticaria and psoriasis (1, 2). Itch has been defined as a sensation that provokes the desire to scratch (3). Moreover, itch has been shown to lower patients' quality of life (4–6), which in turn unfavourably affects the outcome of dermatological therapies, such as photochemotherapy (7) and, consequently, the patients' skin status (1, 4, 8). Disease severity alone usually cannot fully account for the intensity of itch and, although several treatments are available, none is generally effective (9). Due to the overall modest relationship between itch intensity and severity of the skin diseases of, for example, psoriasis and atopic dermatitis (4, 8, 10, 11), other factors have also been proposed to influence itch intensity. In addition to factors such as sweating, skin dryness, or physical effort,

psychological factors have regularly been described to influence itch intensity (4, 8, 12). One of the most striking examples of the influence of psychological factors on itch came from the observation that itch (and the scratching response) could be aggravated by showing individuals itch-related pictures of fleas, mites, scratch marks, allergic reactions, etc. (13). Furthermore, it has been described that psychological co-morbidity (e.g. clinical depression) is high among patients suffering from itch (14–16) and that negative emotions can increase the level of itch (17).

In contrast to the traditional biomedical model (which focuses merely on the physical processes of a disease and does not take into account the role of psychosocial factors), the observation that disease severity alone usually cannot fully account for the intensity of itch, as well as the influence of psychological processes in the itch sensation, support a biopsychosocial model of itch in patients with chronic skin diseases. Biopsychosocial factors can best be shown in a diathesis-stress model, which is based on the hypothesis that internal vulnerability factors (diathesis), such as personality, interact with external environmental factors (such as major life events and other stressors) to trigger a disease or itch (Fig. 1). In this model, cognitive, behavioural and social factors, such as illness cognitions, coping, or perceived social support can be considered as mediating or moderating factors that can enhance the disease and symptoms of itch. It is assumed that these factors, at least partly, account for the effects of stressors and personality characteristics on disease. For example, the influence of a stressful event on disease activity and itch may be explained by how patients cope with the stress-




Fig. 1. Biopsychosocial model of itch.

ful event. Another well-known example is the effect of social support on the relationship between stressors and disease activity: stressors might unfavourably affect disease activity and itch only in the event of low levels of social support.

Specifically for itch, scratching is an important mediating factor in this model. Scratching in response to itch is an unconscious and autonomic response, as reflected by the definition of itch as an unpleasant sensation, which evokes the desire to scratch (17). However, due to a vicious itch-scratch-itch cycle, scratching may become a problem in its own right. These factors may, in turn, be affected by psychological factors of sensory information processing (18). For example, it has been reported that patients with chronic itch conditions have a lower threshold for sensory stimuli in general and for itch specifically (19–21). This might be due to changes in information processing, such as an attentional- or interpretational bias to general or itch specific stimuli, or catastrophizing expectations about aversive stimuli (19, 22).

Several physiological processes can also mediate the relationship between itch and psychological factors (see Fig. 1). It is nowadays well-known that, for example, specific cutaneous sensory C-nerve fibres serve as pruriceptors (23–25), transmitting sensory stimuli from the skin through the dorsal horn to the spinothalamic tract. Several internal mediators, e.g. histamine, serotonin, prostaglandins and cytokines, can bind to the nerve endings of these itch receptors and induce axonal firing (26–29). Considering the possible influence of stressors on itch in patients with skin diseases, external stressors can activate the stress response system in the brain (hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis; HPA axis), and the hormones of this HPA axis in turn activate mast cells in the skin (30, 31). In addition, external stressors can induce autonomous responses, such as transpiration, which can also worsen itch sensations (4, 12).

PURPOSE AND METHODS OF THIS STUDY

While research so far has focused on the individual components of the biopsychosocial model of itch, the whole model has not yet been systematically described, particularly with regard to the evidence for psychological factors. Therefore, the aim of the current review is to summarize evidence for the biopsychosocial model of itch, in particular the evidence for possible pathways for the influence of psychological factors on itch. Although different forms of itch have been classified and the neurophysiology of itch greatly depends on the type of itch (32), in this review we will focus on chronic itch related to skin diseases. For this purpose, we will describe data about the relationship between itch and internal factors of relatively stable personality characteristics (a relatively stable pattern of individual

thoughts, emotions and actions), external stressors, as well as the influence of cognitive, behavioural and social factors on itch in patients with skin diseases.

The electronic databases used in our search for relevant studies included MEDLINE (1970 to 2007), psychINFO (1970 to 2007), EMBASE (1980 to 2007), and the Cochrane Library (1993 to 2007). Based on diathesis-stress models, the keywords “itch”, “itching” and “pruritus” were used in combination with “psychological”, “psychiatric”, “stress”, “stressors”, “life events”, “hassles”, “personality”, “mood”, “affect”, “emotion”, “tension”, “anxiety”, “depression”, “neuroticism”, “scratch”, “coping”, “cognitions”, “helplessness”, “avoidance”, “acceptance”, “worrying”, “catastrophizing”, “stigmatization”, “efficacy”, “perceived control”, “behaviour”, “social” and “support”. In addition, reference sections were screened manually. Only international published studies on itch in skin diseases were included in this review.

PERSONALITY CHARACTERISTICS AND ITCH

It has been suggested that certain personality traits are associated with the development or exacerbations of skin disorders (33–36). In particular, for patients with chronic inflammatory diseases, such as atopic dermatitis and psoriasis, a specific personality profile (with a relatively stable experience of negative emotional states) has been suggested (33, 36–40). However, research has generally failed to show that patients with skin diseases have such a distinct personality profile. Nevertheless, several emotional features (such as anxiety or depression) have frequently been found to be elevated in patients with chronic skin diseases, probably as a consequence of the skin disease rather than as a contributory cause of the skin condition (34, 35, 39, 41–46).

There is evidence for an association between negative affectivity (e.g. depression and anxiety) and the outcome of itch and the related scratching response. For example, preliminary results indicate that the level of anxiety is correlated with the intensity of itch in patients with chronic skin diseases (47). Furthermore, elevated levels of anxiety are associated with increased conditioning of a scratch response in patients with atopic dermatitis (48). For depression, findings suggest that a depressed clinical state may influence the itch intensity (49–51). For example, the level of itch before and after standard dermatological treatment (of, for example, topical steroids or ultraviolet-B light) in patients with psoriasis was significantly associated with changes in depression before and after treatment (50). In addition, negative affectivity in terms of neuroticism has also been associated with itch intensity in patients with psoriasis as well as atopic dermatitis (8), while other studies have failed to find a relationship between itch and negative

affectivity (44, 46). Gupta (52) reported a correlation between a tendency to experience dissociative states (a state where the person withdraws from the body or reality, occurring mostly during or after psychological trauma) and itch in a non-clinical population.

These findings regarding personality and itch severity should be interpreted with caution. Although in some cross-sectional studies a statistically significant correlation was found, the direction of causality remains unclear. It is reasonable that some of these previously described symptoms, for example anxiety, depression, and experiencing dissociative states, are a consequence, rather than a cause, of having a chronic dermatological disorder accompanied by chronic itch. Dissociative states could, for example, be a functional way of coping with chronic itch, while it may be a way to withdraw from the unpleasant itch experience. In addition, there may be an indirect relationship between negative affect and itch. For example, in other chronic diseases, patients with more negative affectivity are known to be more vulnerable for dysfunctional coping styles when affected by disease (e.g. a passive-avoidant coping style), which in turn have been shown to be risk factors for a worse disease outcome (53, 54).

STRESSORS AND ITCH

Many patients (37–88%) with chronic skin diseases believe that there is a relationship between external stressors and their skin disease (55–59), and this relationship has received increasing attention over the years (43, 58–68). Although research on this subject is often methodologically flawed (e.g. small sample size, limited number of repeated measurements), results suggest that stress factors are associated with disease severity in patients with skin diseases. For example one of the few prospective studies by King & Wilson (69), indicates that in patients with atopic dermatitis, self-reported stressors are predictors of disease severity one day later. The influence of stressors on the physical symptom of itch has received far less attention. While to our knowledge there have been no prospective studies on this subject, some experimental and cross-sectional studies indicate that stress factors can influence itch. For example, an early experimental study by Edwards et al. (70) indicated that perceived stress affected the capability of healthy subjects to discriminate among itch stimuli.

A frequently used distinction between stressors is the division into major life events (e.g. divorce, loss of a loved one) and minor life events (daily hassles of, for example, interpersonal conflicts or running late for an important meeting). Major and minor life events have been shown to be associated with higher levels of itch in the general population and in patients with skin diseases (50, 71, 72). Moreover, one study showed that experi-

mentally evoked stress heightened itch in patients with skin diseases (73). Additionally, perceived stress due to major or minor life events has been found to be associated with the presence (and severity) of itch in patients with psoriasis in some studies (74, 75) but not in others (50). In skin diseases, the feeling of being stigmatized (e.g. the experience that others stare, feel uncomfortable touching patients, make annoying comments, or avoid contact at all) also reflects the individual's perceived stress. Stigmatization has been shown to be related to higher levels of itch in patients with atopic dermatitis and psoriasis (47, 76). Furthermore, high stress reactors, that is patients who indicate that their disease severity is strongly associated with stress, reported significantly more itch and a stronger itch/scratch cycle than low stress reactors (77, 78), which suggests that stressors may have different effects on the symptom of itch in different subgroups of patients.

Overall, studies of the relationship between stress factors and itch indicate that the subjective experience of stressors might be associated with increased itch in patients with skin diseases. However, further prospective and experimental research is necessary to fully comprehend the relationship between different types of stressors (life events as well as perceived stress) and itch in different types of skin diseases.

MEDIATING FACTORS

As shown in Fig. 1, specific cognitive, behavioural and social factors are assumed to mediate a persons' skin reactivity and response to itch.

Cognitive factors

Individual differences in the long-term adjustment to chronic diseases may be explained by different ways of cognitive evaluation (illness cognitions) of the aversive nature of the chronic condition and its symptom (45, 53). Although there are several conceptualizations of illness cognitions, two main constructs might be particularly relevant for itchy skin diseases as well as other chronic diseases: (i) cognitions that emphasize the negative meaning (e.g. helplessness or worrying); and (ii) cognitions that diminish the aversive meaning of the condition (e.g. acceptance) (53).

Helplessness. Since most chronic diseases are still incurable and the course of the disease is often unpredictable, patients may experience helplessness because of this lack of control over their disease. Helplessness is reflected by the tendency to emphasize the negative aspects of the disease as being uncontrollable, unpredictable and unchangeable, and to generalize these feelings to all aspects of life (53). In line with other chronic conditions in which helplessness has been shown to influence phy-

sical symptoms (53), preliminary results indicate that helplessness may be associated with higher levels of itch in skin diseases (10). Additionally, helplessness has been related to a lower psychological well-being (10) and appeared to be the strongest predictor of perceived stress, such as feelings of stigmatization, in patients with skin diseases (76).

Worrying. Related to the concept of helplessness is the cognitive status of perseverative worrying. About 40% of patients with a chronic skin condition show general pathological worrying (22, 79) and disease-specific worrying has been shown to be associated with more severe disease activity in patients with skin diseases (8, 80). Furthermore, Fortune et al. (7) reported that general worrying predicted the effect of UVB light therapy in patients with psoriasis. Patients with high levels of worrying needed more radiation treatments to clear their psoriasis.

Acceptance. In contrast to helplessness and worrying, which focus on the negative meaning of the disease and seem negatively to influence the disease course, acceptance may have beneficial effects on skin diseases. Cognitions of acceptance are to acknowledge the fact of being chronically ill and simultaneously perceiving the ability to live with, and master the consequences of, the disease (53). Some studies have found a relationship between acceptance and physical well-being in patients with skin diseases (10), while others have not (81). Acceptance has also been shown to be correlated with less itch intensity (10). Since higher levels of psychological distress have been related to higher levels of helplessness and less acceptance (10), it is possible that these psychological cognitions mediate the distress caused by itch in patients with skin diseases.

Behavioural factors

For skin diseases in general, behavioural coping strategies are directly associated with disease-related outcomes in patients with chronic skin diseases (82, 83), specifically scratching. The subjective sensation of itch is strongly correlated with the scratching response (measured by behavioural indicators as well as questionnaires) (47, 84). The sensation of itch induces a universal cascade in humans and animals to scratch. The positive effect of scratching could be the removal of the causal agent, such as parasites or plant particles. The immediate reinforcement of scratching is the reduction of itch; however, patients with enduring itch due to chronic skin diseases persist in their scratching behaviour (even until the skin bleeds) and this perceived loss of control may lead to negative emotional feelings. In addition, scratching can be sustained by long-term reinforcement (for example, through attention from other people). Consequently,

in the long-term scratching may develop into a conditioned response to stressors and other stimuli beside itch, which may be particularly relevant in patients with chronic itch. As mentioned earlier, scratching induces several physiological responses. For example, it has been shown that repeated scratching decreases prostaglandin D2 (PGD2) levels – an itch inhibitor – in the skin, resulting in an increased desire to scratch and inducing the itch-scratch cycle (85, 86). Furthermore, functional positron emission tomography (fPET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have recently showed that itch is related to brain regions implicated in motivational processes (e.g. orbitofrontal cortex, striatal region, subgenual anterior cingulate cortex), which could reflect the desire to relieve the itch, probably through scratching (87, 88). Furthermore, animal studies have shown that scratching may induce skin conditions by influencing various immunological responses: less scratching behaviour has been shown to result in altered levels of several interleukins (IL-5, IL-13, IL-18, interferon- γ) (89). Although scratching induces relief of symptoms in the short term, scratching often becomes a principal problem in its own right in the long term, due to a vicious circle of itching, scratching, and scratching wounds that in turn cause itch. Through this vicious cycle of itch-scratch-itch, scratching may have an important role in the maintenance and exacerbation of chronic skin disorders. In turn, the itch-scratch cycle has been found to correlate with higher levels of worrying and helplessness, less acceptance, and anxious-depressive mood (47, 80, 90), suggesting that certain psychological factors (such as illness cognitions, behavioural factors, and external stressors) may influence the itch-scratch cycle.

In addition to scratching, other coping behaviours may influence the itch sensation of patients with chronic skin disease in the long term. In particular, enduring patterns of avoidant coping behaviour in response to itch attacks (e.g. quitting activities or retreating to a restful environment when experiencing itch) has been shown to be related to higher levels of itch, more scratching, and higher levels of disease-related disability (8, 58). This is consistent with findings for other chronic conditions where avoidance behaviour towards the disease has also been described negatively to influence the disease outcome. For example, in patients suffering from chronic pain it is well known that passive-avoidance behaviour negatively influences long-term disease outcomes (91–93). In contrast to acute pain, acute itch is a trigger for increased activity patterns (scratching). However, chronic itching usually causes patients to withdraw from activities or to avoid daily activities, which may lead to a reduced quality of life in the long term. Avoidance behaviour has indeed been shown to be related to disease-related quality of life in patients with chronic skin conditions that are frequently accompanied by itch (82, 83).

Social factors

Social support can be broadly defined as interactions or resources provided by others that may help an individual cope with stress (94). The influence of social support on general physical and psychological health outcomes has frequently been described (95–98). There is also relatively strong evidence that higher levels of social support are associated with better cardiovascular regulation (e.g. lower blood pressure) and immune functioning. The relationship between social support and endocrine functioning is somewhat less clear. Although such relationships have repeatedly been reported, the underlying mechanisms are relatively unclear. For example, increasing solely social contact levels did not affect key parameters of neuroendocrine function, such as cortisol levels (95).

The influence of social support on itch requires more attention, while only a few studies address this subject. Preliminary results indicate that social support (perceived support and size of social network) is not associated with itching (10, 72). However, social support may have a protective effect when individuals are exposed to possible disease-triggering events (96). Picardi et al. (99) investigated the role of social support in outpatients with recent-onset psoriasis or with exacerbation of their disease. Preliminary results indicate that subgroups of patients differ in their perceived level of social support (66). Social support may also have a mediating role in the relationship between itch and distress, since lower levels of social support are reported to be associated with higher levels of distress (10).

CONCLUSION

The aim of this review was to provide an overview of the evidence for a biopsychosocial model of itch in patients with chronic skin diseases. In particular, evidence for a relationship between internal factors of relatively stable personality traits, external stressors, and the influence of possible mediators (cognitive, behavioural and social factors) on itch was structurally summarized. Considering that disease severity alone cannot fully account for the itch sensation and the preliminary empirical support for a relationship between the biopsychosocial pathways and itch, the theoretical model seems to have an additional value in gaining insight into the complex determinants of itch and its treatment in skin diseases. Overall, findings support the biopsychosocial model of itch. However, further research is needed before the underlying mechanisms can be fully understood. While most research into psychological factors and the itch sensation is based on cross-sectional studies, there is a strong need for studies with a methodologically sound design (e.g. studies with an experimental or prospective design).

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Yosipovitch G. Epidemiology of itching in skin and systemic diseases. In: Yosipovitch G, Greaves MW, Fleischer AB, McGlone F, editors. *Itch: basic mechanisms and therapy*. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2004: p. 183–191.
2. Verhoeven EWM, Kraaijaat FW, van de Kerkhof PCM, van Weel C, Duller P, van der Valk PGM, et al. Prevalence of physical symptoms of itch, pain, and fatigue in patients with skin diseases in general practice. *Br J Dermatol* 2007; 156: 1346–1349.
3. Savin JA. How should we define itching? *JAAD* 1998; 39: 268–269.
4. Yosipovitch G, Goon A, Wee J, Chan YH, Goh CL. The prevalence and clinical characteristics of pruritus among patients with extensive psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol* 2000; 143: 969–973.
5. van Os-Medendorp H, Eland-de Kok PCM, Grypdonck M, Bruijnzeel-Koomen CAFM, Ros WJG. Prevalence and predictors of psychosocial morbidity in patients with chronic pruritic skin diseases. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2006; 20: 810–817.
6. Weisshaar E, Apfelbacher C, Jager G, Zimmermann E, Bruckner T, Diepgen TL, et al. Pruritus as a leading symptom: clinical characteristics and quality of life in German and Ugandan patients. *Br J Dermatol* 2006; 155: 957–964.
7. Fortune DG, Richards HL, Kirby B, McElhone K, Markham T, Rogers S, et al. Psychological distress impairs clearance of psoriasis in patients treated with photochemotherapy. *Arch Dermatol* 2003; 139: 752–756.
8. Verhoeven EWM, Kraaijaat FW, Duller P, van der Kerkhof PCM, Evers AWM. Cognitive, behavioral and physiological reactivity to itching: analogies to chronic pain. *Int J Behav Med* 2006; 13: 237–243.
9. Biro T, Ko MC, Bromm B, Wei ET, Bigliardi P, Siebenhaar F, et al. How best to fight that nasty itch – from new insights into the neuroimmunological, neuroendocrine, and neurophysiological bases of pruritus to novel therapeutic approaches. *Exp Dermatol* 2005; 14: 225–240.
10. Evers AW, Lu Y, Duller P, van der Valk PG, Kraaijaat FW, van der Kerkhof PC, et al. Common burden of chronic skin diseases? Contributors to psychological distress in adults with psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol* 2005; 152: 1275–1281.
11. Szepietowski JC, Reich A, Wisnicka B. Itching in patients suffering from psoriasis. *Acta Dermatovenerol Croat* 2002; 10: 221–226.
12. Yosipovitch G, Goon AT, Wee J, Chan YH, Zucker I, Goh CL. Itch characteristics in Chinese patients with atopic dermatitis using a new questionnaire for the assessment of pruritus. *Int J Dermatol* 2002; 41: 212–216.
13. Niemeier V, Kupfer J, Gieler U. Observations during an itch-inducing lecture. *Dermatol Psychosom* 2000; 1: 15–18.
14. Schneider G, Driesch G, Heuft G, Evers S, Luger TA, Stander S. Psychosomatic cofactors and psychiatric comorbidity in patients with chronic itch. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2006; 31: 762–767.
15. Calikusu C, Yucel B, Polat A, Baykal C. The relation of psychogenic excoriation with psychiatric disorders: a comparative study. *Compr Psychiatry* 2003; 44: 256–261.
16. Picardi A, Abeni D, Melchi CF, Puddu P, Pasquini P. Psychiatric morbidity in dermatological outpatients: an issue to be recognized. *Br J Dermatol* 2000; 143: 983–991.
17. Fjellner B, Arnetz BB. Psychological predictors of pruri-

- tus during mental stress. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1985; 65: 504–508.
18. van den Bergh O, Winters O, Devriese W, van Driest I. Subjective health complaints and objective health indicators. *Scand J Psychol* 2002; 43: 147–152.
 19. van Laarhoven AIM, Kraaimaat FW, Wilder-Smith OH, van de Kerkhof PC, Cats H, van Riel PL, et al. Generalized and symptom-specific sensitization of chronic itch and pain. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2007; 21: 1187–1192.
 20. Ikoma A, Fartasch M, Heyer G, Miyachi Y, Handwerker H, Schmelz M. Painful stimuli evoke itch in patients with chronic pruritus: central sensitization for itch. *Neurology* 2004; 62: 212–217.
 21. Ikoma A, Handwerker H, Miyachi Y, Schmelz M. Electrically evoked itch in humans. *Pain* 2005; 113: 148–154.
 22. Fortune DG, Richards HL, Corrin A, Taylor RJ, Griffiths CE, Main CJ. Attentional bias for psoriasis-specific and psychosocial threat in patients with psoriasis. *J Behav Med* 2003; 26: 211–224.
 23. Schmelz M, Schmidt R, Bickel A, Handwerker HO, Torebjork HE. Specific C-receptors for itch in human skin. *J Neurosci* 1997; 17: 8003–8008.
 24. Handwerker HO, Forster C, Kirchhoff C. Discharge patterns of human C-fibers induced by itching and burning stimuli. *J Neurophysiol* 1991; 66: 307–315.
 25. Andrew D, Craig AD. Spinothalamic lamina I neurons selectively sensitive to histamine: a central neural pathway for itch. *Nat Neurosci* 2001; 4: 72–77.
 26. Stander S, Steinhoff M, Schmelz M, Weisshaar E, Metz D, Luger T. Neurophysiology of pruritus: cutaneous elicitation of itch. *Arch Dermatol* 2003; 139: 1463–1470.
 27. Schmelz M. Itch-mediators and mechanisms. *J Dermatol Sci* 2002; 28: 91–96.
 28. Ikoma A, Steinhoff M, Stander S, Yosipovitch G, Schmelz M. The neurobiology of itch. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006; 7: 535–547.
 29. Greaves MW, Wall PD. Pathophysiology of itching. *Lancet* 1996; 348: 938–940.
 30. Paus R, Theoharides TC, Arck PC. Neuroimmunoendocrine circuitry of the ‘brain-skin connection’. *Trends Immunol* 2006; 27: 32–39.
 31. Arck PC, Slominski A, Theoharides TC, Peters EM, Paus R. Neuroimmunology of stress: skin takes center stage. *J Invest Dermatol* 2006; 126: 1697–1704.
 32. Stander S, Weisshaar E, Mettang T, Szepletowski JC, Carstens E, Ikoma A, et al. Clinical classification of itch: a position paper of the International Forum for the Study of Itch. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2007; 87: 291–294.
 33. Kepecs JG, Rabin A, Robin M. Atopic dermatitis: a clinical psychiatric study. *Psychosom Med* 1951; 13: 1–9.
 34. Sperber J, Shaw J, Bruce S. Psychological components and the role of adjunct interventions in chronic idiopathic urticaria. *Psychother Psychosom* 1989; 51: 135–141.
 35. Buske Kirschbaum A, Geiben A, Hellhammer D. Psychobiological aspects of atopic dermatitis: an overview. *Psychother Psychosom* 2001; 70: 6–16.
 36. Panconesi E, Hautmann G. Psychophysiology of stress in dermatology. The psychobiologic pattern of psychosomatics. *Dermatol Clin* 1996; 14: 399–421.
 37. Bahmer JA, Kuhl J, Bahmer FA. How do personality systems interact in patients with psoriasis, atopic dermatitis and urticaria? *Acta Derm Venereol* 2007; 87: 317–324.
 38. Michel FB. Psychology of the allergic patient. *Allergy* 1994; 49: 28–30.
 39. White A, Horne DJ, Varigos GA. Psychological profile of the atopic eczema patient. *Australas J Dermatol* 1990; 31: 13–16.
 40. Lerda S, Angelini G. Psychosomatic conditions in atopic dermatitis. *Dermatol Psychosom* 2004; 5: 5–11.
 41. Gupta MA, Gupta AK, Haberman HF. Psoriasis and psychiatry: an update. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 1987; 9: 166.
 42. Buske Kirschbaum A, Ebrecht M, Kern S, Höllig H, Gierens A, Hellhammer D. Personality characteristics and their association with biological stress responses in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Dermatol Psychosom* 2004; 5: 12–16.
 43. Ginsburg IH. Psychological and psychophysiological aspects of psoriasis. *Dermatol Clin* 1995; 13: 793–804.
 44. Hashizume H, Horibe T, Ohshima A, Ito T, Yagi H, Takigawa M. Anxiety accelerates T-helper 2-tilted immune responses in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol* 2005; 152: 1161–1164.
 45. Stangier U, Ehlers A. Stress and anxiety in dermatological disorders. In: Mostofsky D, Barlow D, editors. The management of stress and anxiety in medical disorders. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 2000: p. 304–333.
 46. Ginsburg IH, Prystowsky JH, Kornfeld DS, Wolland H. Role of emotional factors in adults with atopic dermatitis. *Int J Dermatol* 1993; 32: 656–660.
 47. Evers AWM, Duller P, van de Kerkhof PCM, van der Valk PGM, de Jong EMGJ, Gerritsen MJP, et al. The impact of chronic skin diseases on daily life (ISDL): a generic and dermatology-specific health instrument. *Br J Dermatol* 2008; 158: 101–108.
 48. Jordan JM, Whitlock FA. Atopic dermatitis anxiety and conditioned scratch responses. *J Psychosom Res* 1974; 18: 297–299.
 49. Gupta MA, Gupta AK, Schork NJ, Ellis CN. Depression modulates pruritus perception: A study of pruritus in psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, and chronic idiopathic urticaria. *Psychosom Med* 1994; 56: 36–40.
 50. Gupta MA, Gupta AK, Kirkby S, Weiner HK, Mace TM, Schork NJ, et al. Pruritus in psoriasis. A prospective study of some psychiatric and dermatologic correlates. *Arch Dermatol* 1988; 124: 1052–1057.
 51. Fjellner B, Lindelof B, Wahlgren CF, Lengstam I. Influence of Grenz rays and psychological factors on experimental pruritus induced by histamine and compound 48/80. *Arch Dermatol Res* 1989; 281: 111–115.
 52. Gupta MA. Somatization disorders in dermatology. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2006; 18: 41–47.
 53. Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, van Lankveld W, Jongen PJ, Jacobs JW, Bijlsma JW. Beyond unfavorable thinking: the illness cognition questionnaire for chronic diseases. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2001; 69: 1026–1036.
 54. Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, van Riel PL, Bijlsma JW. Cognitive, behavioral and physiological reactivity to pain as a predictor of long-term pain in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Pain* 2001; 93: 139–146.
 55. Nevitt GJ, Hutchinson PE. Psoriasis in the community: prevalence, severity and patients’ beliefs and attitudes towards the disease. *Br J Dermatol* 1996; 135: 533–537.
 56. Fortune DG, Richards HL, Main CJ, Griffiths CE. What patients with psoriasis believe about their condition. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1998; 39: 196–201.
 57. Gupta MA, Gupta AK, Kirkby S, Schork NJ. A psychocutaneous profile of psoriasis patients who are stress reactors: a study of 127 patients. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 1989; 11: 166–173.
 58. Fortune DG, Richards HL, Griffiths CE. Psychologic factors in psoriasis: consequences, mechanisms, and interventions. *Dermatol Clin* 2005; 23: 681–694.
 59. Griffiths CE, Richards HL. Psychological influences in psoriasis. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2001; 26: 338–342.
 60. Picardi A, Abeni D. Stressful life events and skin diseases:

- disentangling evidence from myth. *Psychother Psychosom* 2001; 70: 118–136.
61. Gaston L, Lasonde M, Bernier Buzzanga J, Hodgins S, Crombez JC. Psoriasis and stress: a prospective study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1987; 17: 82–86.
 62. Gaston L, Crombez JC, Lasonde M, Bernier Buzzanga J, Hodgins S. Psychological stress and psoriasis: experimental and prospective correlational studies. *Acta Derm Venereol Suppl (Stockh)* 1991; 156: 37–43.
 63. Naldi L, Chatenoud L, Linder D, Belloni Fortina A, Peserico A, Virgili AR, et al. Cigarette smoking, body mass index, and stressful life events as risk factors for psoriasis: results from an Italian case-control study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005; 125: 61–67.
 64. Fava GA, Perini GI, Santonastaso P, Fornasa CV. Life events and psychological distress in dermatologic disorders: psoriasis, chronic urticaria and fungal infections. *Br J Med Psychol* 1980; 53: 277–282.
 65. Al'Abadie MS, Kent GG, Gawkrödger DJ. The relationship between stress and the onset and exacerbation of psoriasis and other skin conditions. *Br J Dermatol* 1994; 130: 199–203.
 66. Picardi A, Mazzotti E, Gaetano P, Cattaruzza MS, Baliva G, Melchi CF, et al. Stress, social support, emotional regulation, and exacerbation of diffuse plaque psoriasis. *Psychosomatics* 2005; 46: 556–564.
 67. Yosipovitch G, Tang M, Dawn AG, Chen M, Goh CL, Huak Y, et al. Study of psychological stress, sebum production and acne vulgaris in adolescents. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2007; 87: 135–139.
 68. Colavincenzo ML, Granstein RD. Stress and the skin: a meeting report of the Weill Cornell Symposium on the Science of Dermatology. *J Invest Dermatol* 2006; 126: 2560–2561.
 69. King RM, Wilson GV. Use of a diary technique to investigate psychosomatic relations in atopic dermatitis. *J Psychosom Res* 1991; 35: 697–706.
 70. Edwards AE, Shellow WV, Wright ET, Dignam TF. Pruritic skin diseases, psychological stress, and the itch sensation. A reliable method for the induction of experimental pruritus. *Arch Dermatol* 1976; 112: 339–343.
 71. Gupta MA, Gupta AK. Stressful major life events are associated with a higher frequency of cutaneous sensory symptoms: an empirical study of non-clinical subjects. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2004; 18: 560–565.
 72. Dalgard F, Svensson A, Sundby J, Dalgard OS. Self-reported skin morbidity and mental health. A population survey among adults in a Norwegian city. *Br J Dermatol* 2005; 153: 145–149.
 73. Arnetz BB, Fjellner B, Eneroth P, Kallner A. Endocrine and dermatological concomitants of mental stress. *Acta Derm Venereol Suppl (Stockh)* 1991; 156: 9–12.
 74. Reich A, Szepietowski JC, Wisnicka B, Pacan P. Does stress influence itching in psoriatic patients? *Dermatol Psychosom* 2003; 4: 151–155.
 75. Gupta MA, Gupta AK. The Psoriasis Life Stress Inventory: a preliminary index of psoriasis-related stress. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1995; 75: 240–243.
 76. Lu Y, Duller P, van der Valk P, Evers A. Helplessness as predictor of perceived stigmatization in patients with psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. *Dermatol Psychosom* 2003; 4: 146–150.
 77. Niemeier V, Nippesen M, Kupfer J, Schill WB, Gieler U. Psychological factors associated with hand dermatoses: which subgroup needs additional psychological care? *Br J Dermatol* 2002; 146: 1031–1037.
 78. Zachariae R, Zachariae H, Blomqvist K, Davidsson S, Molin L, Mork C, et al. Self-reported stress reactivity and psoriasis-related stress of Nordic psoriasis sufferers. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2004; 18: 27–36.
 79. Fortune DG, Richards HL, Main CJ, Griffiths CE. Pathological worrying, illness perceptions and disease severity in patients with psoriasis. *Br J Health Psychol* 2000; 5: 71–82.
 80. Kupfer J, Brosig B, Darsow U, Diepgen TL, Fartasch M, Korsch E, et al. Development of questionnaires on coping with disease and itching cognitions for children and adolescents with atopic eczema. *Dermatol Psychosom* 2003; 4: 79–85.
 81. Fortune DG, Richards HL, Main CJ, Griffiths CE. Patients' strategies for coping with psoriasis. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2002; 27: 177–184.
 82. Scharloo M, Kaptein AA, Weinman J, Hazes JM, Willems LN, Bergman W, et al. Illness perceptions, coping and functioning in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and psoriasis. *J Psychosom Res* 1998; 44: 573–585.
 83. Scharloo M, Kaptein AA, Weinman J, Bergman W, Vermeer BJ, Rooijmans HG. Patients' illness perceptions and coping as predictors of functional status in psoriasis: a 1-year follow-up. *Br J Dermatol* 2000; 142: 899–907.
 84. Felix R, Shuster S. A new method for the measurement of itch and the response to treatment. *Br J Dermatol* 1975; 93: 303–311.
 85. Sugimoto M, Arai I, Hashimoto Y, Hashimoto Y, Sakurai T, Honma Y, et al. Time course changes of scratching counts, dermatitis symptoms, and levels of cutaneous prostaglandins in NC/Nga mice. *Exp Dermatol* 2006; 15: 875–882.
 86. Sugimoto M, Arai I, Futaki N, Honma Y, Sakurai T, Hashimoto Y, et al. Putative mechanism of the itch-scratch circle: repeated scratching decreases the cutaneous level of prostaglandin D2, a mediator that inhibits itching. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2007; 76: 93–101.
 87. Leknes SG, Bantick S, Willes CM, Wilkinson JD, Wise RG, Tracey I. Itch and motivation to scratch: an investigation of the central and peripheral correlates of allergen- and histamine-induced itch in humans. *J Neurophysiol* 2006; 97: 415–422.
 88. Paus R, Schmelz M, Biro T, Steinhoff M. Frontiers in pruritus research: scratching the brain for more effective itch therapy. *J Clin Invest* 2006; 116: 1174–1185.
 89. Mihara K, Kuratani K, Matsui T, Nakamura M, Yokota K. Vital role of the itch-scratch response in development of spontaneous dermatitis in NC/Nga mice. *Br J Dermatol* 2004; 151: 335–345.
 90. Stangier U, Ehlers A, Gieler U. Measuring adjustment to chronic skin disorders: validation of a self-report measure. *Psychol Assess* 2003; 15: 532–549.
 91. Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, Geenen R, Bijlsma JW. Psychosocial predictors of functional change in recently diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Behav Res Ther* 1998; 36: 179–193.
 92. Vlaeyen JW, Linton SJ. Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. *Pain* 2000; 85: 317–332.
 93. Aldrich S, Eccleston C, Crombez G. Worrying about chronic pain: vigilance to threat and misdirected problem solving. *Behav Res Ther* 2000; 38: 457–470.
 94. Wills TA, Fegan MF. Social network and social support. In: Baum A, Revenson TA, Singer JE, editors. *Handbook of health psychology*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2001: p. 209–234.
 95. Uchino BN, Cacioppo JT, Kiecolt Glaser JK. The relationship between social support and physiological processes:

- a review with emphasis on underlying mechanisms and implications for health. *Psychol Bull* 1996; 119: 488–531.
96. Cobb S. Social support as a moderator of life stress. *Psychosom Med* 1976; 38: 300–314.
97. Cohen S, Wills TA. Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychol Bull* 1985; 98: 310–357.
98. Östberg V, Lennartson C. Getting by with a little help: the importance of various types of social support for health problems. *Scand J Public Health* 2007; 35: 197–204.
99. Picardi A, Pasquini P, Cattaruzza MS, Gaetano P, Baliva G, Melchi CF, et al. Only limited support for a role of psychosomatic factors in psoriasis. Results from a case-control study. *J Psychosom Res* 2003; 55: 189–196.