

**ERRATA**

In Forsberg S, Saarialho-Kere U, Rollman O. Comparison of Growth-inhibitory Agents by Fluorescence Imaging of Human Skin Re-epithelialization *In vitro*. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2006; 86: 292–299 Table I is incorrect. The correct table is as follows:

Table I. Estimated radial growth rates ( $\mu\text{m}/\text{day}$ ) for drugs tested at three different concentrations. Mean values $\pm$ SEM from three independent experiments are given.  $n=12$  for each group

| Drug          | 1 $\mu\text{M}$ |         | 100 nM       |       | 10 nM        |       |
|---------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
|               | Growth rate     | $p^a$   | Growth rate  | $p^a$ | Growth rate  | $p^a$ |
| PK1166        | 393 $\pm$ 9     | <0.0001 | 466 $\pm$ 8  | 0.168 | 475 $\pm$ 5  | 0.459 |
| Calcipotriol  | 411 $\pm$ 16    | <0.0001 | 495 $\pm$ 15 | 0.419 | 510 $\pm$ 9  | 0.051 |
| Betamethasone | 456 $\pm$ 12    | 0.033   | 459 $\pm$ 8  | 0.054 | 478 $\pm$ 10 | 0.625 |
| Tacrolimus    | 470 $\pm$ 15    | 0.274   | 457 $\pm$ 9  | 0.035 | 460 $\pm$ 12 | 0.064 |
| Dithranol     | 486 $\pm$ 12    | 0.932   | 476 $\pm$ 10 | 0.494 | 482 $\pm$ 13 | 0.848 |
| Tazarotene    | 517 $\pm$ 13    | 0.015   | 501 $\pm$ 11 | 0.209 | 501 $\pm$ 11 | 0.223 |
| Vehicle       | 485 $\pm$ 7     |         |              |       |              |       |

<sup>a</sup>Statistical test of difference in radial growth rate between drug and vehicle as described in the materials and methods section.